

Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report (i)

Sep 16, 2025 – 04:09 PM EDT

PDB ID : 9ECL / pdb 00009ecl

BMRB ID : 31214

Title : Structure of the human integrin beta2 transmembrane domain

Authors: Ulmer, T.S.; Vu, H.N.; Situ, A.J.

Deposited on : 2024-11-14

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity : 4-5-2 with Phenix2.0rc1

Percentile statistics : 20231227.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 27th 2023)

wwPDB-RCI : v 1n 11 5 13 A (Berjanski et al., 2005)

PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)

wwPDB-ShiftChecker : v1.2 BMRB Restraints Analysis : v1.2

Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001) Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)


Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.45.1

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure: $SOLUTION\ NMR$

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 33%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive	NMR archive
Wietric	$(\# {\rm Entries})$	$(\# ext{Entries})$
Clashscore	210492	14027
Ramachandran outliers	207382	12486
Sidechain outliers	206894	12463

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain	Quality of chain			
1	A	43	67%	•	30%		



2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 21 models. Model 4 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *minimized average structure*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues				
Well-defined core Residue range (total) Backbone RMSD (Å) Medoid mod				
1	A:680-A:709 (30)	0.17	4	

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters and 4 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 16, 17, 20
2	13, 15
3	14, 21
Single-model clusters	1; 9; 18; 19



3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 655 atoms, of which 342 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Integrin beta-2.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms				Trace	
1	Λ	49	Total	С	Н	N	О	0
1 A	43	655	207	342	51	55	0	

There are 3 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	671	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP P05107
A	672	GLU	-	expression tag	UNP P05107
A	673	SER	-	expression tag	UNP P05107



4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: Integrin beta-2

Chain A: 67% . 30%

4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

• Molecule 1: Integrin beta-2

Chain A: 56% 14% 30%



4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

• Molecule 1: Integrin beta-2

Chain A: 58% 12% 30%





4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

• Molecule 1: Integrin beta-2

Chain A: 60% 9% 30%

G671 S673 V674 A675 G676 P677 N678 A688 L692 L707 L707 L707 L710 R711

4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4 (medoid)

• Molecule 1: Integrin beta-2

Chain A: 63% 7% 30%

G671
E672
S673
V674
A676
G676
G676
A681
I682
W701
C710
R711

4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

• Molecule 1: Integrin beta-2

Chain A: 67% . 30%

G671 E672 S673 S673 V674 A675 G6676 N678 I679 W701 L710 R7112

4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

• Molecule 1: Integrin beta-2

Chain A: 70% 30%

G671 E672 S673 V674 A675 G676 P677 N678 I679 L710 E711 F713

4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

• Molecule 1: Integrin beta-2

Chain A: 67% . 30%





4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

• Molecule 1: Integrin beta-2

Chain A: 65% 5% 30%



4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

• Molecule 1: Integrin beta-2

Chain A: 67% . 30%



4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

• Molecule 1: Integrin beta-2

Chain A: 65% 5% 30%



4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

• Molecule 1: Integrin beta-2

Chain A: 58% 12% 30%




4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12

• Molecule 1: Integrin beta-2

Chain A: 67% . 30%








• Molecule 1: Integrin beta-2

Chain A: 67% • 30%



4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

• Molecule 1: Integrin beta-2

Chain A: 65% 5% 30%



4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

• Molecule 1: Integrin beta-2

Chain A: 58% 12% 30%



4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

• Molecule 1: Integrin beta-2

Chain A: 53% 16% 30%



4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17

• Molecule 1: Integrin beta-2

Chain A: 60% 9% 30%





4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

• Molecule 1: Integrin beta-2

Chain A: 63% 7% 30%



4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

• Molecule 1: Integrin beta-2

Chain A: 65% 5% 30%



4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

• Molecule 1: Integrin beta-2

Chain A: 65% 5% 30%



4.2.21 Score per residue for model 21

• Molecule 1: Integrin beta-2

Chain A: 63% 7% 30%





5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)



The models were refined using the following method: simulated annealing.

Of the 21 calculated structures, 21 were deposited, based on the following criterion: all calculated structures submitted.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version	
X-PLOR NIH	structure calculation		

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	188
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	188
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	33%



6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

	Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
	1	A	214	246	246	2±1
ĺ	All	All	4494	5166	5166	38

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 4.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom 2	Cleab (Å)	$Distance(\mathring{A})$	Mod	dels
Atom-1	Atom-2	$\operatorname{Clash}(ext{\AA})$	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:701:TRP:NE1	1:A:705:ILE:HD11	0.78	1.93	15	3
1:A:683:VAL:O	1:A:687:VAL:HG23	0.75	1.82	1	1
1:A:703:ALA:O	1:A:707:LEU:HD23	0.62	1.94	17	1
1:A:703:ALA:O	1:A:706:HIS:ND1	0.56	2.38	2	2
1:A:702:LYS:NZ	1:A:706:HIS:CE1	0.54	2.75	11	2
1:A:701:TRP:CE2	1:A:705:ILE:HD11	0.51	2.39	15	2
1:A:706:HIS:CD2	1:A:706:HIS:C	0.49	2.89	15	2
1:A:702:LYS:HZ1	1:A:706:HIS:CE1	0.49	2.25	11	1
1:A:701:TRP:CD1	1:A:701:TRP:C	0.48	2.89	18	15
1:A:687:VAL:O	1:A:691:VAL:HG23	0.47	2.10	1	1
1:A:694:GLY:O	1:A:698:LEU:HD13	0.46	2.10	16	1
1:A:688:ALA:O	1:A:692:LEU:HD13	0.43	2.14	3	1
1:A:703:ALA:O	1:A:706:HIS:CE1	0.42	2.71	2	2
1:A:701:TRP:NE1	1:A:705:ILE:CD1	0.42	2.79	2	1

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

Atom-1	Atom 2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:682:ILE:O	1:A:686:THR:OG1	0.41	2.38	18	1
1:A:709:ASP:C	1:A:709:ASP:OD2	0.41	2.63	11	2

6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	outliers Percentiles	
1	A	30/43 (70%)	30±0 (100±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100	100
All	All	630/903 (70%)	630 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	100	100

There are no Ramachandran outliers.

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed Rotameric Outliers		Outliers	Percen	ntiles
1	A	22/32~(69%)	22±0 (100±1%)	0±0 (0±1%)	91	98
All	All	462/672 (69%)	461 (100%)	1 (0%)	91	98

All 1 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	708	SER	1

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.



6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no oligosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 33% for the well-defined parts and 32% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned_chemical_shifts_1

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	188
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	188
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	${\rm Correction} \pm {\rm precision}, ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$	39	-0.14 ± 0.12	None needed ($< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$)
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	33	1.28 ± 0.05	Should be checked
¹³ C′	38	0.03 ± 0.13	None needed ($< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$)
^{15}N	39	0.43 ± 0.22	None needed ($< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$)

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 33%, i.e. 139 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 417. 0 out of 10 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}{ m H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	115/154~(75%)	29/64~(45%)	57/60 (95%)	29/30 (97%)
Sidechain	24/244 (10%)	0/168 (0%)	24/75 (32%)	0/1 (0%)

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Aromatic	0/19 (0%)	0/10 (0%)	0/7 (0%)	0/2 (0%)
Overall	139/417 (33%)	29/242 (12%)	81/142 (57%)	29/33 (88%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 32%, i.e. 188 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 585. 0 out of 12 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}{ m H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	155/219 (71%)	39/91 (43%)	77/86 (90%)	39/42 (93%)
Sidechain	33/338 (10%)	0/229~(0%)	33/104 (32%)	0/5 (0%)
Aromatic	0/28~(0%)	0/14 (0%)	0/12 (0%)	0/2 (0%)
Overall	188/585 (32%)	39/334 (12%)	110/202 (54%)	39/49 (80%)


7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports random coil index values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:





8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	0
Intra-residue ($ i-j =0$)	0
Sequential ($ i-j =1$)	0
Medium range ($ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$)	0
Long range (i-j ≥5)	0
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	0
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	66
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	0
Number of long range restraints per residue ¹	0

¹Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.


8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation. There are no distance restraints

8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

$\mathbf{Bins}\;(^{\circ})$	Average number of violations per model	$\mathbf{Max} \ (^{\circ})$
1.0-10.0 (Small)	0.6	2.8





Continued from previous page...

Bins (°)	Average number of violations per model	$\operatorname{Max}(^{\circ})$
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	None	None
>20.0 (Large)	None	None



9 Distance violation analysis (i)

No distance restraints data found



10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)


10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

A 1 - 4	Commit	$\%^{1}$	Vie	\mathbf{olated}^3		Consis	tentl	$y Violated^4$
Angle type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
CHI2	2	3.0	2	100.0	3.0	0	0.0	0.0
PSI	31	47.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
PHI	30	45.5	1	3.3	1.5	0	0.0	0.0
CHI1	3	4.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	66	100.0	3	4.5	4.5	0	0.0	0.0

 $^{^1}$ percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, 2 percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, 3 violated in at least one model, 4 violated in all the models

10.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories




10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	N	umbe	er of v	iolatio	ns	Mean (°)	Max (°)	SD (°)	Median (°)
Model 1D	CHI2	PSI	PHI	CHI1	Total	Mean ()	Wiax ()	SD ()	Median ()
1	0	0	1	0	1	1.21	1.21	0.0	1.21
2	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	1	0	0	0	1	1.07	1.07	0.0	1.07
4	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	1	0	1	0	2	1.04	1.08	0.04	1.04
6	0	0	1	0	1	1.04	1.04	0.0	1.04
7	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	1	0	1	0	2	1.97	2.8	0.83	1.97
10	0	0	1	0	1	1.03	1.03	0.0	1.03
11	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
12	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
14	1	0	1	0	2	1.4	1.58	0.18	1.4
15	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
16	0	0	1	0	1	1.79	1.79	0.0	1.79
17	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
18	1	0	0	0	1	1.68	1.68	0.0	1.68
19	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
20	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
21	1	0	1	0	2	1.6	2.06	0.46	1.6



10.2.1 Bar graph: Dihedral violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Number of violated restraints					Fraction of the ensemble		
CHI2	PSI	PHI	CHI1	Total	Count ¹	%	
1	0	0	0	1	1	4.8	
0	0	0	0	0	2	9.5	
0	0	0	0	0	3	14.3	
0	0	0	0	0	4	19.0	
1	0	0	0	1	5	23.8	
0	0	0	0	0	6	28.6	
0	0	0	0	0	7	33.3	
0	0	1	0	1	8	38.1	
0	0	0	0	0	9	42.9	
0	0	0	0	0	10	47.6	
0	0	0	0	0	11	52.4	

Continued on next page...




Continued from previous page...

Number of violated restraints					Fraction of the ensemble		
CHI2	PSI	PHI	CHI1	Total	Count ¹	%	
0	0	0	0	0	12	57.1	
0	0	0	0	0	13	61.9	
0	0	0	0	0	14	66.7	
0	0	0	0	0	15	71.4	
0	0	0	0	0	16	76.2	
0	0	0	0	0	17	81.0	
0	0	0	0	0	18	85.7	
0	0	0	0	0	19	90.5	
0	0	0	0	0	20	95.2	
0	0	0	0	0	21	100.0	

 $^{^{1}}$ Number of models with violations

10.3.1 Bar graph: Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble (i)








10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

10.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble



10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	\mathbf{Models}^1	Mean	\mathbf{SD}^2	Media
(1,60)	1:708:A:SER:C	1:709:A:ASP:N	1:709:A:ASP:CA	1:709:A:ASP:C	8	1.57	0.59	1.4
(1,64)	1:701:A:TRP:CA	1:701:A:TRP:CB	1:701:A:TRP:CG	1:701:A:TRP:CD1	5	1.22	0.24	1.14


¹ Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)

10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

10.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.





10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table lists the absolute value of the violation for each restraint in the ensemble sorted by its value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation (°)
(1,60)	1:708:A:SER:C	1:709:A:ASP:N	1:709:A:ASP:CA	1:709:A:ASP:C	9	2.8
(1,60)	1:708:A:SER:C	1:709:A:ASP:N	1:709:A:ASP:CA	1:709:A:ASP:C	21	2.06
(1,60)	1:708:A:SER:C	1:709:A:ASP:N	1:709:A:ASP:CA	1:709:A:ASP:C	16	1.79
(1,64)	1:701:A:TRP:CA	1:701:A:TRP:CB	1:701:A:TRP:CG	1:701:A:TRP:CD1	18	1.68
(1,60)	1:708:A:SER:C	1:709:A:ASP:N	1:709:A:ASP:CA	1:709:A:ASP:C	14	1.58
(1,64)	1:701:A:TRP:CA	1:701:A:TRP:CB	1:701:A:TRP:CG	1:701:A:TRP:CD1	14	1.22
(1,60)	1:708:A:SER:C	1:709:A:ASP:N	1:709:A:ASP:CA	1:709:A:ASP:C	1	1.21
(1,66)	1:702:A:LYS:CA	1:702:A:LYS:CB	1:702:A:LYS:CG	1:702:A:LYS:CD	9	1.14
(1,64)	1:701:A:TRP:CA	1:701:A:TRP:CB	1:701:A:TRP:CG	1:701:A:TRP:CD1	21	1.14
(1,60)	1:708:A:SER:C	1:709:A:ASP:N	1:709:A:ASP:CA	1:709:A:ASP:C	5	1.08
(1,64)	1:701:A:TRP:CA	1:701:A:TRP:CB	1:701:A:TRP:CG	1:701:A:TRP:CD1	3	1.07
(1,60)	1:708:A:SER:C	1:709:A:ASP:N	1:709:A:ASP:CA	1:709:A:ASP:C	6	1.04
(1,60)	1:708:A:SER:C	1:709:A:ASP:N	1:709:A:ASP:CA	1:709:A:ASP:C	10	1.03
(1,64)	1:701:A:TRP:CA	1:701:A:TRP:CB	1:701:A:TRP:CG	1:701:A:TRP:CD1	5	1.0

