

Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report (i)

May 7, 2025 – 12:21 AM JST

PDB ID	:	$8\rm X3P \ / \ pdb_00008x3p$
BMRB ID	:	36488
Title	:	Structure of linear Rev
Authors	:	Kim, D.; Lim, Y.; Barnwal, R.P.
Deposited on	:	2023-11-14

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at *validation@mail.wwpdb.org* A user guide is available at https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:


MolProbity	:	4-5-2 with Phenix2.0rc1
Percentile statistics	:	20231227.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 27th 2023)
wwPDB-RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
wwPDB-ShiftChecker	:	v1.2
BMRB Restraints Analysis	:	v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.43.1

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure: $SOLUTION\ NMR$

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 43%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	(# Entries)	(# Entries)
Clashscore	210492	14027
Ramachandran outliers	207382	12486
Sidechain outliers	206894	12463

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length		Quality of chain
1	А	59	15%	85%



2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 8 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues				
Well-defined core Residue range (total) Backbone RMSD (Å) Medoid model				
1	A:31-A:39 (9)	1.80	8	

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 4 clusters and 1 single-model cluster was found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 4, 8, 10, 14, 15, 16, 19
2	3, 6, 7, 11, 13, 17, 20
3	2, 18
4	5, 9
Single-model clusters	12



3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 973 atoms, of which 485 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called linear Rev.

Mol	Chain	Residues		A	toms			Trace
1	٨	59	Total	С	Η	Ν	0	0
	A	59	973	294	485	107	87	0



4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.


• Molecule 1: linear Rev

Chain A:	15%	85%	
G1 G2 53 53 18 76 18 18	K9 A10 A11 A11 A11 A13 F16 F16 Y18 Y18 S20 S20	N21 N21 P23 P23 P23 P23 P23 P26 P26 P26 P26 P26 P26 P26 P26 P26 P26	Y 58 L 59

4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1



Chain A: 14% . 85%


4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2






4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

• Molecule 1: linear Rev



4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

• Molecule 1: linear Rev



4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

• Molecule 1: linear Rev



4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

• Molecule 1: linear Rev



4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7






4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8 (medoid)

• Molecule 1: linear Rev



4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

• Molecule 1: linear Rev



4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

• Molecule 1: linear Rev




4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

• Molecule 1: linear Rev



4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12





4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

• Molecule 1: linear Rev




4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

• Molecule 1: linear Rev



4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

• Molecule 1: linear Rev



4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

• Molecule 1: linear Rev




4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17





4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

• Molecule 1: linear Rev



4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

• Molecule 1: linear Rev

Chain A:	15%	85%
G1 G2 G2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2	A11 A11 A11 A11 A11 A11 A11 A12 A12 A12	W 40 R41 R45 R45 R45 R45 R45 R45 R46 R46 R47 R55 R55 R55 R55 R55 R55 R55 R55 R55 R5

4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

 \bullet Molecule 1: linear Rev

Chain A:	15%	85%	
61 62 63 64 76 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	K9 A11 A11 A11 A13 A13 A13 A13 A13 A13 A13	1228 1228 1228 1229 1229 1229 1229 1229	A A A A



5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: torsion angle dynamics.

Of the 200 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CYANA	refinement	
CYANA	structure calculation	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	511
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	511
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	43%



6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	А	88	97	97	0 ± 0
All	All	1760	1940	1940	-

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is -.

There are no clashes.

6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percen	tiles
1	А	9/59~(15%)	8±1 (87±14%)	$1\pm1 (13\pm14\%)$	0±0 (0±0%)	100	100
All	All	180/1180~(15%)	157 (87%)	23 (13%)	0 (0%)	100	100

There are no Ramachandran outliers.



6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles
1	А	8/49~(16%)	8 ± 1 (94 $\pm9\%$)	$0\pm1~(6\pm9\%)$	17 69
All	All	160/980~(16%)	150 (94%)	10 (6%)	17 69

All 6 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	39	ARG	2
1	А	37	ARG	2
1	А	31	GLN	2
1	А	34	ARG	2
1	А	36	ARG	1
1	А	33	ARG	1

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no oligosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.



6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 43% for the well-defined parts and 59% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: $starch_output$

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	511
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	511
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	3

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	${\rm Correction}\pm{\rm precision},ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}C_{\alpha}$	52	1.28 ± 0.42	Should be checked
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	49	-0.03 ± 0.11	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}C'$	50	0.99 ± 0.28	Should be applied
^{15}N	48	-3.01 ± 0.27	Should be applied

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 43%, i.e. 74 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 174. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	15 N
Backbone	35/45~(78%)	14/18~(78%)	14/18~(78%)	7/9~(78%)
Sidechain	39/129~(30%)	21/79~(27%)	16/30~(53%)	2/20~(10%)

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	15 N
Overall	74/174~(43%)	35/97~(36%)	30/48~(62%)	9/29~(31%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 59%, i.e. 511 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 860. 0 out of 3 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Backbone	250/290~(86%)	100/117~(85%)	102/118~(86%)	48/55~(87%)
Sidechain	259/523~(50%)	149/331~(45%)	104/147~(71%)	6/45~(13%)
Aromatic	2/47~(4%)	1/23~(4%)	0/22~(0%)	1/2~(50%)
Overall	511/860~(59%)	250/471~(53%)	206/287~(72%)	55/102~(54%)

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.


List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	А	46	GLN	CD	170.71	173.59 - 185.85	-7.3
1	А	31	GLN	CD	170.81	173.59 - 185.85	-7.3
1	А	19	GLN	CD	170.88	173.59 - 185.85	-7.2

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (1)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:







8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	236
Intra-residue $(i-j =0)$	105
Sequential (i-j =1)	130
Medium range ($ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$)	1
Long range $(i-j \ge 5)$	0
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	0
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	14
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	4.2
Number of long range restraints per residue ¹	0.0

¹Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	0.3	0.14
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	None	None
>0.5 (Large)	None	None



8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation. There are no dihedral-angle violations



9 Distance violation analysis (i)


9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Bestroints type	Count	$\%^1$	Vio	lated	3	Consis	tently	\vee Violated ⁴
Restraints type	Count	701	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue (i-j =0)	105	44.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	97	41.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	8	3.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential (i-j =1)	130	55.1	4	3.1	1.7	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	51	21.6	3	5.9	1.3	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	79	33.5	1	1.3	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range ($ i-j > 1 \& i-j < 5$)	1	0.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	1	0.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Long range $(i-j \ge 5)$	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	236	100.0	4	1.7	1.7	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	51	21.6	3	5.9	1.3	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	176	74.6	1	0.6	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	9	3.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0

 1 percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, 2 percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, 3 violated in at least one model, 4 violated in all the models





9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)

Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å

are not included in the statistics.

Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	5	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD^{6} (Å)	Median (Å)	
Model ID	IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	$ IC^5 $	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	$SD^{\circ}(A)$	Meulall (A)	
1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.13	0.13	0.0	0.13	
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

Continued on next page...




Madal ID			nber o		ations	5	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD^6 (Å)	Madian (Å)	
Model ID	IR^{1}	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	$ IC^5 $	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	$SD^{*}(A)$	Median (Å)	
11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
13	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.11	0.11	0.0	0.11	
14	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.11	0.11	0.0	0.11	
15	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.11	0.11	0.0	0.11	
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
19	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.11	0.11	0.0	0.11	
20	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.14	0.14	0.0	0.14	

Continued from previous page...

 1 Intra-residue restraints, 2 S
equential restraints, 3 Medium range restraints,
 4 Long range restraints, 5 Inter-chain restraints,
 6 Standard deviation

9.2.1 Bar graph : Distance Violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right




9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 232(IR:105, SQ:126, MR:1, LR:0, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu	mber	of vio	lated	restra	aints	Fractio	n of the ensemble
IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC ⁵	Total	Count^6	%
0	3	0	0	0	3	1	5.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	2	10.0
0	1	0	0	0	1	3	15.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	4	20.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	5	25.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	6	30.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	7	35.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	8	40.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	9	45.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	10	50.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	11	55.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	12	60.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	13	65.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	14	70.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	15	75.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	16	80.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	17	85.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	18	90.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	19	95.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	20	100.0

 1 Intra-residue restraints, 2 Sequential restraints, 3 Medium range restraints, 4 Long range restraints, 5 Inter-chain restraints, 6 Number of models with violations






9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

	Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	\mathbf{Models}^1	Mean (Å)	SD^1 (Å)	Median (Å)
	(1,182)	1:31:A:GLN:HB2	1:32:A:ALA:H	3	0.11	0.0	0.11
Γ	(1,182)	1:31:A:GLN:HB3	1:32:A:ALA:H	3	0.11	0.0	0.11


¹Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation

9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.





9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table lists the absolute value of the violation for each restraint in the ensemble sorted by its value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,125)	1:27:A:GLU:HA	1:28:A:GLY:H	20	0.14
(1,8)	1:12:A:ARG:HA	1:13:A:ALA:H	1	0.13
(1,182)	1:31:A:GLN:HB2	1:32:A:ALA:H	14	0.11
(1,182)	1:31:A:GLN:HB3	1:32:A:ALA:H	14	0.11
(1,182)	1:31:A:GLN:HB2	1:32:A:ALA:H	15	0.11
(1,182)	1:31:A:GLN:HB3	1:32:A:ALA:H	15	0.11
(1,182)	1:31:A:GLN:HB2	1:32:A:ALA:H	19	0.11
(1,182)	1:31:A:GLN:HB3	1:32:A:ALA:H	19	0.11
(1,123)	1:25:A:ASN:H	1:26:A:PRO:HA	13	0.11



10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)


10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

	Count	$\%^1$	$Violated^3$			Consistently Violated ⁴		
Angle type	Count	70-	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
PHI	7	50.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
PSI	7	50.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	14	100.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0

 1 percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, 2 percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, 3 violated in at least one model, 4 violated in all the models

10.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories



10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

No violations found

10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

No violations found

10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

No violations found

10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

No violations found

