

# Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report (i)

#### Dec 24, 2024 – 07:23 PM EST

PDB ID : 2M0X BMRB ID : 18831

Title: Solution structure of U14Ub1, an engineered ubiquitin variant with increased

affinity for USP14

Authors: Phillips, A.H.; Fairbrother, W.J.; Corn, J.E.

Deposited on : 2012-11-08

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity: 4.02b-467

Percentile statistics : 20231227.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 27th 2023)

wwPDB-RCI : v 1n 11 5 13 A (Berjanski et al., 2005)

PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)

 $\begin{array}{ccc} wwPDB\text{-}ShiftChecker &:& v1.2\\ BMRB \ Restraints \ Analysis &:& v1.2 \end{array}$ 

Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001) Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)


Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.40

# 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION\ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 88%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive	NMR archive
Metric	$(\# \mathrm{Entries})$	$(\# \mathrm{Entries})$
Clashscore	210492	14027
Ramachandran outliers	207382	12486
Sidechain outliers	206894	12463

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain			
1	A	78	73%	10%	14%	•



# 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 10 models. Model 8 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues					
Well-defined core Residue range (total) Backbone RMSD (Å) Medoid mod					
1	A:1-A:6, A:12-A:70 (65)	0.44	8		

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 2 clusters and 2 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 2, 5, 6, 8
2	3, 7, 9
Single-model clusters	4; 10



# 3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 1221 atoms, of which 619 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called engineered ubiquitin variant.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms				Trace		
1	Λ	76	Total	С	Н	N	О	S	0
1	А	76	1221	378	619	106	117	1	




# 4 Residue-property plots (i)

### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: engineered ubiquitin variant




### 4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.


#### 4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

• Molecule 1: engineered ubiquitin variant



#### 4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

• Molecule 1: engineered ubiquitin variant






#### 4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

• Molecule 1: engineered ubiquitin variant



#### 4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

• Molecule 1: engineered ubiquitin variant



#### 4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

• Molecule 1: engineered ubiquitin variant




#### 4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

• Molecule 1: engineered ubiquitin variant



#### 4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7


• Molecule 1: engineered ubiquitin variant






### 4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8 (medoid)

• Molecule 1: engineered ubiquitin variant




#### 4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

• Molecule 1: engineered ubiquitin variant



### 4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

• Molecule 1: engineered ubiquitin variant





#### 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)



The models were refined using the following method: simulated annealing.

Of the 50 calculated structures, 10 were deposited, based on the following criterion: structures with the lowest energy.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
X-PLOR NIH	structure solution	
X-PLOR NIH	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	919
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	910
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	9
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	88%



# 6 Model quality (i)

## 6.1 Standard geometry (i)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

## 6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	517	529	531	10±3
All	All	5170	5290	5310	98

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 9.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	$\operatorname{Distance}(\mathring{\mathrm{A}})$	Models	
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:67:LEU:N	1:A:67:LEU:HD22	0.68	2.04	2	10
1:A:67:LEU:N	1:A:67:LEU:CD2	0.58	2.66	2	10
1:A:67:LEU:O	1:A:68:HIS:CD2	0.54	2.60	8	6
1:A:36:LEU:O	1:A:36:LEU:HD12	0.54	2.03	8	4
1:A:67:LEU:O	1:A:68:HIS:CG	0.53	2.61	5	2
1:A:18:GLU:OE1	1:A:18:GLU:N	0.52	2.42	3	1
1:A:52:ASP:OD1	1:A:53:GLY:N	0.51	2.43	1	1
1:A:1:MET:N	1:A:2:GLN:NE2	0.51	2.58	6	1
1:A:14:THR:O	1:A:33:LYS:NZ	0.51	2.43	1	1
1:A:1:MET:SD	1:A:1:MET:O	0.51	2.69	7	1
1:A:44:ILE:O	1:A:68:HIS:ND1	0.50	2.44	9	1
1:A:67:LEU:O	1:A:68:HIS:ND1	0.50	2.45	5	2
1:A:4:PHE:CD1	1:A:4:PHE:N	0.49	2.79	6	9
1:A:52:ASP:N	1:A:52:ASP:OD1	0.49	2.43	9	1

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

A 4 1	A 4 0	C11-(%)	D: -t ( % )	Models	
Atom-1	Atom-2	$\operatorname{Clash}( ext{Å})$	$\operatorname{Distance}(\operatorname{\AA})$	Worst	Total
1:A:30:ILE:C	1:A:32:ASP:N	0.48	2.67	9	6
1:A:2:GLN:N	1:A:2:GLN:CD	0.48	2.67	6	1
1:A:65:SER:O	1:A:67:LEU:CD2	0.47	2.62	9	10
1:A:12:THR:HG22	1:A:13:THR:N	0.46	2.25	10	1
1:A:5:VAL:O	1:A:5:VAL:HG23	0.46	2.11	1	3
1:A:18:GLU:O	1:A:21:ASP:N	0.46	2.48	1	2
1:A:21:ASP:OD1	1:A:25:ASN:ND2	0.45	2.49	4	1
1:A:30:ILE:O	1:A:32:ASP:N	0.45	2.49	3	4
1:A:2:GLN:NE2	1:A:2:GLN:N	0.45	2.65	6	1
1:A:31:GLN:N	1:A:41:GLN:HE22	0.44	2.11	4	1
1:A:30:ILE:C	1:A:32:ASP:H	0.43	2.15	4	5
1:A:48:LYS:NZ	1:A:54:ARG:HH22	0.43	2.11	9	1
1:A:23:ILE:N	1:A:23:ILE:CD1	0.42	2.82	3	1
1:A:5:VAL:HG22	1:A:13:THR:O	0.42	2.14	7	1
1:A:27:LYS:O	1:A:41:GLN:NE2	0.42	2.49	7	1
1:A:4:PHE:N	1:A:4:PHE:CD1	0.42	2.85	3	1
1:A:45:PHE:O	1:A:48:LYS:N	0.42	2.52	2	1
1:A:40:GLN:O	1:A:41:GLN:C	0.42	2.58	4	1
1:A:25:ASN:C	1:A:25:ASN:OD1	0.41	2.59	7	1
1:A:63:LYS:C	1:A:65:SER:N	0.41	2.75	4	1
1:A:60:ASN:O	1:A:60:ASN:OD1	0.40	2.39	1	1
1:A:31:GLN:N	1:A:41:GLN:NE2	0.40	2.69	4	1
1:A:45:PHE:C	1:A:47:GLY:N	0.40	2.74	4	1
1:A:60:ASN:N	1:A:60:ASN:ND2	0.40	2.70	5	1

## 6.3 Torsion angles (i)

## 6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed Outliers		Percentiles		
1	A	64/78 (82%)	59±2 (92±3%)	5±2 (7±3%)	1±1 (1±1%)	15	64	
All	All	640/780 (82%)	586 (92%)	47 (7%)	7 (1%)	15	64	

All 3 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.



Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	41	GLN	3
1	A	31	GLN	2
1	A	37	PRO	2

### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles		
1	A	60/68 (88%)	59±0 (98±1%)	1±0 (2±1%)	50 9	2	
All	All	600/680 (88%)	588 (98%)	12 (2%)	50 9	2	

All 4 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	36	LEU	4
1	A	5	VAL	4
1	A	65	SER	3
1	A	25	ASN	1

### 6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

## 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

## 6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no oligosaccharides in this entry.

## 6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.



# 6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

# 6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



# 7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 88% for the well-defined parts and 86% for the entire structure.

#### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_1

### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	919
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	910
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	9
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

The following assigned chemical shifts were not mapped to the molecules present in the coordinate file.

• No matching atom found in the structure. All 9 occurrences are reported below.

List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom		Shift Data	ı
LIST ID	Chain	nes	Type	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	-1	GLY	HA2	3.75	0.020	2
1	A	-1	GLY	HA3	3.901	0.020	2
1	A	-1	GLY	CA	42.96	0.3	1
1	A	0	SER	HA	3.927	0.020	1
1	A	0	SER	HB2	4.648	0.020	1
1	A	0	SER	HB3	4.648	0.020	1
1	A	0	SER	С	173.529	0.3	1
1	A	0	SER	CA	58.436	0.3	1
1	A	0	SER	СВ	63.764	0.3	1

### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.



Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, $ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$	78	$0.33 \pm 0.16$	None needed ( $< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$ )
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	70	$0.77 \pm 0.20$	Should be applied
<sup>13</sup> C′	69	$-0.15 \pm 0.11$	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{15}N$	69	$0.45 \pm 0.32$	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)

#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 88%, i.e. 796 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 905. 0 out of 10 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}{ m H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	311/322 (97%)	127/130 (98%)	125/130~(96%)	59/62 (95%)
Sidechain	453/547 (83%)	306/353~(87%)	147/174 (84%)	0/20 (0%)
Aromatic	32/36 (89%)	16/18 (89%)	16/17 (94%)	0/1 (0%)
Overall	796/905 (88%)	449/501 (90%)	288/321 (90%)	59/83 (71%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 86%, i.e. 910 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1060. 0 out of 12 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	365/381~(96%)	152/156~(97%)	$144/152 \ (95\%)$	69/73~(95%)
Sidechain	501/631 (79%)	342/407 (84%)	159/197 (81%)	0/27 (0%)
Aromatic	$44/48 \ (92\%)$	22/24~(92%)	21/22 (95%)	1/2 (50%)
Overall	910/1060 (86%)	516/587 (88%)	324/371 (87%)	70/102 (69%)

## 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)


There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

## 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports random coil index values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:







# 8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

## 8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	1038
Intra-residue ( $ i-j =0$ )	441
Sequential ( $ i-j =1$ )	205
Medium range ( $ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$ )	118
Long range ( i-j ≥5)	274
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	0
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	111
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	14.7
Number of long range restraints per residue <sup>1</sup>	3.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

## 8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

## 8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	0.8	0.18
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	0.6	0.29
>0.5 (Large)	None	None



## 8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than  $1^\circ$  are not included in the calculation.

Bins $(^{\circ})$	Average number of violations per model	$\mathbf{Max} \ (^{\circ})$
1.0-10.0 (Small)	0.3	2.26
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	None	None
>20.0 (Large)	None	None



# 9 Distance violation analysis (i)

## 9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)


The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1~Å are not included in the statistics.

Destruciate tour	C	<b>%</b> <sup>1</sup>	Vio	lated	3	Consistently Violated		
Restraints type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	441	42.5	1	0.2	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	30	2.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	290	27.9	1	0.3	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	121	11.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential ( i-j =1)	205	19.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	62	6.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	109	10.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	34	3.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range ( $ i-j >1 \&  i-j <5$ )	118	11.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	17	1.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	52	5.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	49	4.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Long range ( $ i-j  \ge 5$ )	274	26.4	2	0.7	0.2	1	0.4	0.1
Backbone-Backbone	16	1.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	105	10.1	2	1.9	0.2	1	1.0	0.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	153	14.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	1038	100.0	3	0.3	0.3	1	0.1	0.1
Backbone-Backbone	125	12.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	556	53.6	3	0.5	0.3	1	0.2	0.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	357	34.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, <sup>2</sup> percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, <sup>3</sup> violated in at least one model, <sup>4</sup> violated in all the models



#### 9.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

## 9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)


The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1~Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	5	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$SD^6$ (Å)	Median (Å)	
Model 1D	$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	$SD^*(A)$	wiediali (A)	
1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.18	0.18	0.0	0.18	
2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.12	0.12	0.0	0.12	
3	0	0	0	2	0	2	0.15	0.17	0.03	0.15	
4	1	0	0	1	0	2	0.18	0.26	0.08	0.18	
5	1	0	0	1	0	2	0.22	0.29	0.07	0.22	
6	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.23	0.23	0.0	0.23	
7	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.25	0.25	0.0	0.25	
8	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.23	0.23	0.0	0.23	
9	0	0	0	2	0	2	0.12	0.12	0.0	0.12	
10	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.26	0.26	0.0	0.26	



 $^1{\rm Intra-residue}$ restraints,  $^2{\rm Sequential}$ restraints,  $^3{\rm Medium}$ range restraints,  $^4{\rm Long}$ range restraints,  $^5{\rm Inter-chain}$ restraints,  $^6{\rm Standard}$  deviation

### 9.2.1 Bar graph: Distance Violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

## 9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 1035(IR:440, SQ:205, MR:118, LR:272, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

					n of the ensemble		
$IR^1$	$\mathbb{R}^1 \mid \mathrm{SQ}^2 \mid \mathrm{MR}^3 \mid \mathbb{I}$		$LR^4$	$  IC^5  $	Total	Count <sup>6</sup>	%
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	10.0
1	0	0	1	0	2	2	20.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	3	30.0

Continued on next page...




Continued from previous page...

Nu	mber	of vio	lated	Fraction of the ensemble			
$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Count <sup>6</sup>	%
0	0	0	0	0	0	4	40.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	5	50.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	6	60.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	7	70.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	8	80.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	9	90.0
0	0	0	1	0	1	10	100.0

 $<sup>^1{\</sup>rm Intra-residue}$  restraints,  $^2{\rm Sequential}$  restraints,  $^3{\rm Medium}$  range restraints,  $^4{\rm Long}$  range restraints,  $^5{\rm Inter-chain}$  restraints,  $^6{\rm \ Number\ of\ models}$  with violations

### 9.3.1 Bar graph: Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




## 9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

### 9.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models



in the ensemble



### 9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	${ m SD}^1 \ ( m \AA)$	Median (Å)
(1,91)	1:4:A:PHE:HD1	1:64:A:GLU:HA	10	0.21	0.06	0.23
(1,91)	1:4:A:PHE:HD2	1:64:A:GLU:HA	10	0.21	0.06	0.23
(1,108)	1:5:A:VAL:H	1:5:A:VAL:HG11	2	0.12	0.02	0.12
(1,108)	1:5:A:VAL:H	1:5:A:VAL:HG12	2	0.12	0.02	0.12
(1,108)	1:5:A:VAL:H	1:5:A:VAL:HG13	2	0.12	0.02	0.12
(1,108)	1:5:A:VAL:H	1:5:A:VAL:HG21	2	0.12	0.02	0.12
(1,108)	1:5:A:VAL:H	1:5:A:VAL:HG22	2	0.12	0.02	0.12
(1,108)	1:5:A:VAL:H	1:5:A:VAL:HG23	2	0.12	0.02	0.12
(1,168)	1:13:A:THR:H	1:5:A:VAL:HB	2	0.12	0.0	0.12


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation



### 9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

### 9.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



### 9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table lists the absolute value of the violation for each restraint in the ensemble sorted by its value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,91)	1:4:A:PHE:HD1	1:64:A:GLU:HA	5	0.29
(1,91)	1:4:A:PHE:HD2	1:64:A:GLU:HA	5	0.29
(1,91)	1:4:A:PHE:HD1	1:64:A:GLU:HA	4	0.26
(1,91)	1:4:A:PHE:HD2	1:64:A:GLU:HA	4	0.26
(1,91)	1:4:A:PHE:HD1	1:64:A:GLU:HA	10	0.26
(1,91)	1:4:A:PHE:HD2	1:64:A:GLU:HA	10	0.26
(1,91)	1:4:A:PHE:HD1	1:64:A:GLU:HA	7	0.25
(1,91)	1:4:A:PHE:HD2	1:64:A:GLU:HA	7	0.25
(1,91)	1:4:A:PHE:HD1	1:64:A:GLU:HA	6	0.23
(1,91)	1:4:A:PHE:HD2	1:64:A:GLU:HA	6	0.23

Continued on next page...



### Continued from previous page...

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,91)	1:4:A:PHE:HD1	1:64:A:GLU:HA	8	0.23
(1,91)	1:4:A:PHE:HD2	1:64:A:GLU:HA	8	0.23
(1,91)	1:4:A:PHE:HD1	1:64:A:GLU:HA	1	0.18
(1,91)	1:4:A:PHE:HD2	1:64:A:GLU:HA	1	0.18
(1,91)	1:4:A:PHE:HD1	1:64:A:GLU:HA	3	0.17
(1,91)	1:4:A:PHE:HD2	1:64:A:GLU:HA	3	0.17
(1,108)	1:5:A:VAL:H	1:5:A:VAL:HG11	5	0.14
(1,108)	1:5:A:VAL:H	1:5:A:VAL:HG12	5	0.14
(1,108)	1:5:A:VAL:H	1:5:A:VAL:HG13	5	0.14
(1,108)	1:5:A:VAL:H	1:5:A:VAL:HG21	5	0.14
(1,108)	1:5:A:VAL:H	1:5:A:VAL:HG22	5	0.14
(1,108)	1:5:A:VAL:H	1:5:A:VAL:HG23	5	0.14
(1,168)	1:13:A:THR:H	1:5:A:VAL:HB	3	0.12
(1,91)	1:4:A:PHE:HD1	1:64:A:GLU:HA	2	0.12
(1,91)	1:4:A:PHE:HD2	1:64:A:GLU:HA	2	0.12
(1,91)	1:4:A:PHE:HD1	1:64:A:GLU:HA	9	0.12
(1,91)	1:4:A:PHE:HD2	1:64:A:GLU:HA	9	0.12
(1,168)	1:13:A:THR:H	1:5:A:VAL:HB	9	0.11
(1,108)	1:5:A:VAL:H	1:5:A:VAL:HG11	4	0.11
(1,108)	1:5:A:VAL:H	1:5:A:VAL:HG12	4	0.11
(1,108)	1:5:A:VAL:H	1:5:A:VAL:HG13	4	0.11
(1,108)	1:5:A:VAL:H	1:5:A:VAL:HG21	4	0.11
(1,108)	1:5:A:VAL:H	1:5:A:VAL:HG22	4	0.11
(1,108)	1:5:A:VAL:H	1:5:A:VAL:HG23	4	0.11



## 10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)


## 10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle true	Count	$\%^{1}$	Vio	lated		Consis	tentl	${f y}$ Violated $^4$
Angle type	Count	/0	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
PHI	58	52.3	1	1.7	0.9	0	0.0	0.0
PSI	53	47.7	1	1.9	0.9	0	0.0	0.0
Total	111	100.0	2	1.8	1.8	0	0.0	0.0

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints,  $^2$  percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type,  $^3$  violated in at least one model,  $^4$  violated in all the models

### 10.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories




## 10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations			Mean (°)	Mor (°)	SD (°)	Modian (°)
Wiodei 1D	PHI	PSI	Total	Mean ()	$\mathbf{Max} \ (^{\circ})$	SD ( )	$\mid$ Median (°) $\mid$
1	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	0	1	1	1.75	1.75	0.0	1.75
3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	1	0	1	2.26	2.26	0.0	2.26
5	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7	0	1	1	1.45	1.45	0.0	1.45
8	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

### 10.2.1 Bar graph: Dihedral violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right




### 10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Num	ber o	f violated restraints	Fractio	n of the ensemble
PHI	PSI	Total	$Count^1$	%
1	0	1	1	10.0
0	1	1	2	20.0
0	0	0	3	30.0
0	0	0	4	40.0
0	0	0	5	50.0
0	0	0	6	60.0
0	0	0	7	70.0
0	0	0	8	80.0
0	0	0	9	90.0
0	0	0	10	100.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Number of models with violations

### 10.3.1 Bar graph: Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble (i)






## 10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

### 10.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble



### 10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean	$\mathbf{SD}^2$	Median
(1,100)	1:62:A:GLN:N	1:62:A:GLN:CA	1:62:A:GLN:C	1:63:A:LYS:N	2	1.6	0.15	1.6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)

## 10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

### 10.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.

Data insufficient to plot histogram



### 10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table lists the absolute value of the violation for each restraint in the ensemble sorted by its value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation (°)
(1,39)	1:8:A:LEU:C	1:9:A:THR:N	1:9:A:THR:CA	1:9:A:THR:C	4	2.26
(1,100)	1:62:A:GLN:N	1:62:A:GLN:CA	1:62:A:GLN:C	1:63:A:LYS:N	2	1.75
(1,100)	1:62:A:GLN:N	1:62:A:GLN:CA	1:62:A:GLN:C	1:63:A:LYS:N	7	1.45

