

Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report (i)

Jun 3, 2023 – 09:50 AM EDT

PDB ID : 5W96 BMRB ID : 30311

> Title : Solution structure of phage derived peptide inhibitor of frizzled 7 receptor Authors : Nile, A.H.; de Sousa e Melo, F.; Mukund, S.; Piskol, R.; Hansen, S.; Zhou,

> > L.; Zhang, Y.; Fu, Y.; Gogol, E.B.; Komuves, L.G.; Modrusan, Z.; Angers, S.; Franke, Y.; Koth, C.; Fairbrother, W.J.; Wang, W.; de Sauvage, F.J.;

Hannoush, R.N.

Deposited on : 2017-06-22

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity: 4.02b-467

Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)

wwPDB-RCI : v 1n 11 5 13 A (Berjanski et al., 2005)

PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)

 $\begin{array}{ccc} wwPDB\text{-}ShiftChecker &: & v1.2 \\ BMRB \ Restraints \ Analysis &: & v1.2 \\ \end{array}$

Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001) Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)


Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.33

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure: $SOLUTION\ NMR$

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 46%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive $(\# \mathrm{Entries})$	$rac{ m NMR~archive}{ m (\#Entries)}$
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain			
1	A	14	29%	36%	36%	
1	В	14	43%	14%	43%	



2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 12 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues					
Well-defined core Residue range (total) Backbone RMSD (Å) Medoid model					
1	A:6-A:14 (9)	0.24	12		
2	B:57-B:64 (8)	0.20	10		

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 5 clusters and 1 single-model cluster was found.

Cluster number	Models
1	2, 3, 5, 7, 10, 19, 20
2	4, 11, 16, 18
3	6, 9, 17
4	1, 8, 12
5	13, 15
Single-model clusters	14



3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 462 atoms, of which 216 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Fz7 binding peptide.

Mol	Chain	Residues		Atoms				Trace	
1	Λ	1./	Total	С	Н	N	О	S	0
1 A	14	231	81	108	17	23	2		
1	D 14	Total	С	Н	N	О	S	0	
I B	14	231	81	108	17	23	2	U	




4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide




4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide





4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain A: 29% 36% 36%

L1 P2 S3 S3 D4 D5 L6 E7 P8 W9 W12 W12 M13

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain B: 43% 14% 43%



4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain A: 43% 21% 36%

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain B: 43% 14% 43%



4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain A: 29% 29% 7% 36%



• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain B: 21% 36% 43%

LE1 PF2 SF3 DF4 DF6 LF6 WF9 CG0 H61 V62 M63



4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain A: 29% 36% 36%

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain B: 29% 29% 43%



4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain A: 29% 36%

L1 S3 S3 D4 D5 L6 W9 W9 M13 Y14

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain B: 29% 29% 43%



4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain A: 50% 14% 36%



• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain B: 43% 14% 43%





4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain A: 43% 21% 36%

L1 P2 S3 D4 D5 W9 W9 M13 M13

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain B: 36% 21% 43%

L51
P52
S53
S53
D54
D55
L56
W59
C60
H61

4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain A: 36% 29% 36%

L1 P2 S3 S3 D4 D5 L6 E7 W9 W9 M13

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain B: 21% 36% 43%

151 P52 S53 S53 D54 D55 U56 W59 C60 C60 C60 M63

4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain A: 43% 21% 36%

L1 P2 S3 S3 D4 D5 E7 E7 F8 W9 H11

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain B: 21% 36% 43%



4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain A: 43% 14% 7% 36%

L1 P2 S3 D4 D5 C10 C10 H11

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain B: 36% 14% 7% 43%

151 P52 S53 S53 D54 D55 L56 E57 W59 W69 H61

4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12 (medoid)

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain A: 21% 43% 36%

11 P2 S3 S3 D4 D5 L6 E7 R8 W9 C10 C10 H11 H11

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain B: 29% 29% 43%

L51 P52 S53 S53 D54 D55 U56 W59 W62 W62 W63 W63

4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain A: 29% 36% 36%

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain B: 36% 14% 7% 43%

151 P52 S53 D54 D55 L56 N59 C60 H61



4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain A: 36% 29% 36%

L1 P2 S3 D4 D5 D5 W9 C10 H11 V12 M13

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain B: 43% 14% 43%

L51 P52 S53 D54 D55 L56 E57 F58 N63

4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain A: 29% 36%

L1 P2 S3 S3 D4 D5 W9 C10 H11 V12 M13

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain B: 36% 21% 43%

L51
P52
S53
D54
D55
L56
C60
H61

4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain A: 21% 36% 7% 36%

L1 P2 S3 S3 D4 D5 L6 E7 W9 W9 V12 W11 W13

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain B: 43% 14% 43%

L51
P52
S53
D54
D55
L56
C60
H61



4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain A: 29% 36% 36%

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain B: 36% 14% 7% 43%

L51 P52 S53 S53 D54 D55 L56 W59 C60 C60

4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain A: 36% 29% 36%

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain B: 29% 29% 43%

151 155 155 156 156 156 160 161 161 163 1764

4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain A: 36% 21% 7% 36%

L1 P2 S3 D4 D5 W9 W12 W12 W13

• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain B: 29% 29% 43%

L51 P52 S53 S53 D54 D55 U56 W61 W62 W62 W63



4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20


• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain A: 36% 21% 7% 36%



• Molecule 1: Fz7 binding peptide

Chain B: 21% 36% 43%





5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)



The models were refined using the following method: simulated annealing.

Of the 50 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures* with the lowest energy.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CYANA	structure calculation	
CNS	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	178
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	178
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	46%



6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{added})$	Clashes
1	A	86	75	74	1±1
1	В	78	64	63	1±0
All	All	3280	2780	2740	28

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 5.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	$Distance(\mathring{A})$	Models	
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:B:58:PHE:O	1:B:62:VAL:HG12	0.63	1.93	14	2
1:B:62:VAL:HG13	1:B:63:MET:HG2	0.58	1.75	6	8
1:A:12:VAL:HG13	1:A:13:MET:HG2	0.56	1.77	14	13
1:A:6:LEU:N	1:A:6:LEU:HD22	0.46	2.25	4	2
1:A:10:CYS:O	1:A:11:HIS:CG	0.41	2.74	20	1
1:B:60:CYS:O	1:B:61:HIS:CD2	0.40	2.75	17	1
1:A:10:CYS:O	1:A:11:HIS:CD2	0.40	2.75	1	1



6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles
1	A	8/14 (57%)	6±1 (76±11%)	1±1 (14±13%)	$1\pm0 \ (10\pm5\%)$	1 10
1	В	7/14 (50%)	5±1 (78±11%)	1±1 (9±12%)	1±0 (13±4%)	1 6
All	All	300/560 (54%)	230 (77%)	36 (12%)	34 (11%)	1 8

All 2 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	В	61	HIS	18
1	A	11	HIS	16

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric Outliers		Perc	entiles
1	A	9/14 (64%)	7±1 (77±9%)	2±1 (23±9%)	3	29
1	В	8/14 (57%)	6±1 (81±10%)	2±1 (19±10%)	4	35
All	All	340/560 (61%)	268 (79%)	72 (21%)	3	31

All 8 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	9	TRP	20
1	В	59	TRP	19
1	A	7	GLU	11
1	В	60	CYS	6
1	A	11	HIS	5

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	10	CYS	5
1	В	61	HIS	3
1	В	57	GLU	3

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 46% for the well-defined parts and 46% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: FzD_chemicalshift.bmrb

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	178
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	178
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 46%, i.e. 119 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 260. 0 out of 3 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$	
Backbone	34/85~(40%)	34/34~(100%)	0/34 (0%)	0/17 (0%)	
Sidechain	55/97~(57%)	55/65~(85%)	0/32 (0%)	0/0 (%)	
Aromatic	30/78 (38%)	30/38~(79%)	0/34 (0%)	0/6 (0%)	
Overall	119/260~(46%)	119/137 (87%)	0/100 (0%)	0/23~(0%)	

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 46%, i.e. 178 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 390. 0 out of 6 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.



	Total	$^{1}{ m H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$	
Backbone	52/136 (38%)	52/54~(96%)	0/56 (0%)	0/26~(0%)	
Sidechain	96/176~(55%)	96/116 (83%)	0/60 (0%)	0/0 (%)	
Aromatic	30/78~(38%)	30/38~(79%)	0/34 (0%)	0/6~(0%)	
Overall	178/390 (46%)	178/208 (86%)	0/150 (0%)	0/32 (0%)	


7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)


The image below reports random coil index values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:



Random coil index (RCI) for chain B:







8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	416
Intra-residue (i-j =0)	96
Sequential (i-j =1)	124
Medium range ($ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$)	108
Long range ($ i-j \ge 5$)	8
Inter-chain	80
Hydrogen bond restraints	0
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	0
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	14.9
Number of long range restraints per residue ¹	0.3

¹Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation. There are no distance violations

8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation. There are no dihedral-angle violations



9 Distance violation analysis (i)

9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)


The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Dantuninta tema	Count	% ¹	${f Violated^3}$		Consistently Violated ⁴		$\overline{ m y~Violated^4}$	
Restraints type	Count	701	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue (i-j =0)	96	23.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	82	19.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	14	3.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential (i-j =1)	124	29.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	14	3.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	68	16.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	42	10.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range ($ i-j >1 \& i-j <5$)	108	26.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	22	5.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	38	9.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	48	11.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Long range ($ i-j \ge 5$)	8	1.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	8	1.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	80	19.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	6	1.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	74	17.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	416	100.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	36	8.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	194	46.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	186	44.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0

 $^{^1}$ percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, 2 percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, 3 violated in at least one model, 4 violated in all the models



9.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

No violations found

9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

No violations found

9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

No violations found

9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

No violations found



10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)

No dihedral-angle restraints found

