



# Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report ⓘ

Dec 25, 2024 – 03:27 AM EST

PDB ID : 5IQ5  
BMRB ID : 30043  
Title : NMR solution structure of Mayaro virus macro domain  
Authors : Melekis, E.; Tsika, A.C.; Bentrop, D.; Papageorgiou, N.; Coutard, B.; Spyroulias, G.A.  
Deposited on : 2016-03-10

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at [validation@mail.wwpdb.org](mailto:validation@mail.wwpdb.org)

A user guide is available at

<https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp>

with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at

<http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types>.

---

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity : 4.02b-467  
Percentile statistics : 20231227.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 27th 2023)  
wwPDB-RCI : v\_1n\_11\_5\_13\_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)  
PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)  
wwPDB-ShiftChecker : v1.2  
BMRB Restraints Analysis : v1.2  
Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001)  
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)  
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.40


# 1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:

*SOLUTION NMR*

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 73%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	210492	14027
Ramachandran outliers	207382	12486
Sidechain outliers	206894	12463

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for  $\geq 3$ , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions  $\leq 5\%$

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	166	

## 2 Ensemble composition and analysis

This entry contains 21 models. Model 1 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models).

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:2-A:28, A:36-A:109, A:115-A:116, A:120-A:159 (143)	0.65	1

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 4 clusters and 1 single-model cluster was found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 2, 3, 7, 10, 12, 13, 15, 17, 20
2	5, 8, 9, 11, 14
3	16, 18, 19
4	4, 6
Single-model clusters	21

### 3 Entry composition

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 2416 atoms, of which 1210 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called Macro domain.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	S	
1	A	159	2416	747	1210	226	229	4	0

There are 8 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:


Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	0	MET	-	initiating methionine	UNP Q8QZ73
A	5	THR	ALA	conflict	UNP Q8QZ73
A	160	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP Q8QZ73
A	161	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP Q8QZ73
A	162	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP Q8QZ73
A	163	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP Q8QZ73
A	164	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP Q8QZ73
A	165	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP Q8QZ73

## 4 Residue-property plots [i](#)

### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1: Macro domain




### 4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.


#### 4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1 (medoid)

- Molecule 1: Macro domain




#### 4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

- Molecule 1: Macro domain




### 4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

- Molecule 1: Macro domain




### 4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

- Molecule 1: Macro domain




### 4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

- Molecule 1: Macro domain




### 4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

- Molecule 1: Macro domain




### 4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

- Molecule 1: Macro domain




### 4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

- Molecule 1: Macro domain




### 4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

- Molecule 1: Macro domain




### 4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

- Molecule 1: Macro domain




### 4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

- Molecule 1: Macro domain




### 4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12

- Molecule 1: Macro domain




### 4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

- Molecule 1: Macro domain



### 4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14


- Molecule 1: Macro domain






## 4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

- Molecule 1: Macro domain




## 4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

- Molecule 1: Macro domain




## 4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17

- Molecule 1: Macro domain




## 4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

- Molecule 1: Macro domain




### 4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

- Molecule 1: Macro domain




### 4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

- Molecule 1: Macro domain



### 4.2.21 Score per residue for model 21

- Molecule 1: Macro domain



## 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview

The models were refined using the following method: *molecular dynamics*.

Of the 21 calculated structures, 21 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *target function*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
DYANA	structure calculation	
CYANA	structure calculation	
Amber	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	1494
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1494
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	73%

## 6 Model quality [i](#)

### 6.1 Standard geometry [i](#)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

Chiral center outliers are detected by calculating the chiral volume of a chiral center and verifying if the center is modelled as a planar moiety or with the opposite hand. A planarity outlier is detected by checking planarity of atoms in a peptide group, atoms in a mainchain group or atoms of a sidechain that are expected to be planar.

Mol	Chain	Chirality	Planarity
1	A	0.0±0.0	0.7±0.8
All	All	0	15

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

All unique planar outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group	Models (Total)
1	A	89	TYR	Sidechain	7
1	A	159	ARG	Sidechain	5
1	A	144	ARG	Sidechain	1
1	A	64	TYR	Sidechain	1
1	A	8	ARG	Sidechain	1

### 6.2 Too-close contacts [i](#)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	1097	1104	1104	4±2
All	All	23037	23184	23184	79

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 2.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:37:VAL:HG11	1:A:65:ILE:CD1	0.61	2.25	4	3
1:A:64:TYR:CD1	1:A:99:LEU:HD13	0.61	2.31	8	4
1:A:12:ALA:HB2	1:A:36:ALA:HB1	0.58	1.74	6	2
1:A:106:ILE:HG12	1:A:128:LEU:HD13	0.57	1.77	12	2
1:A:57:THR:HG21	1:A:99:LEU:HD11	0.56	1.77	2	4
1:A:64:TYR:CD1	1:A:99:LEU:HD22	0.54	2.37	21	1
1:A:121:VAL:HG23	1:A:155:VAL:HG21	0.53	1.79	4	1
1:A:18:ALA:HB3	1:A:104:VAL:HB	0.52	1.80	2	2
1:A:21:ASN:HD21	1:A:37:VAL:HG21	0.52	1.65	12	1
1:A:38:ALA:HB2	1:A:45:PHE:CE2	0.51	2.40	17	1
1:A:20:VAL:HG11	1:A:106:ILE:HD12	0.51	1.82	18	1
1:A:20:VAL:HG12	1:A:105:ALA:O	0.51	2.06	18	1
1:A:108:LEU:HD21	1:A:124:SER:HB2	0.50	1.81	4	3
1:A:57:THR:HG21	1:A:99:LEU:HD21	0.50	1.84	6	1
1:A:128:LEU:HD12	1:A:128:LEU:C	0.50	2.28	12	2
1:A:106:ILE:HG23	1:A:141:ILE:HD12	0.49	1.84	7	2
1:A:104:VAL:HG13	1:A:132:MET:CE	0.49	2.37	9	3
1:A:106:ILE:HG12	1:A:128:LEU:HD21	0.48	1.84	19	2
1:A:108:LEU:HD11	1:A:124:SER:HB2	0.48	1.85	5	5
1:A:108:LEU:HD11	1:A:124:SER:CB	0.48	2.38	4	2
1:A:37:VAL:CG1	1:A:65:ILE:HD11	0.47	2.39	18	1
1:A:11:ILE:O	1:A:11:ILE:HG23	0.47	2.09	1	4
1:A:23:ALA:HB3	1:A:68:ALA:O	0.47	2.10	13	1
1:A:20:VAL:HG13	1:A:107:PRO:HD2	0.47	1.86	15	1
1:A:106:ILE:CG1	1:A:128:LEU:HD11	0.47	2.40	18	1
1:A:106:ILE:HG12	1:A:128:LEU:HD11	0.47	1.85	18	1
1:A:64:TYR:CG	1:A:99:LEU:HD23	0.46	2.45	6	1
1:A:90:ARG:HA	1:A:131:ALA:HB1	0.45	1.87	3	1
1:A:125:LEU:HD23	1:A:125:LEU:C	0.45	2.32	21	1
1:A:73:PHE:HB3	1:A:116:ALA:HB3	0.44	1.90	14	1
1:A:141:ILE:HG21	1:A:152:ILE:HG21	0.44	1.89	18	3
1:A:125:LEU:HD23	1:A:126:SER:N	0.44	2.28	21	1
1:A:106:ILE:CG1	1:A:128:LEU:HD21	0.44	2.43	19	1
1:A:14:ALA:HB1	1:A:16:GLU:OE2	0.43	2.13	7	1
1:A:37:VAL:HG11	1:A:65:ILE:HD11	0.43	1.88	18	2
1:A:64:TYR:CD1	1:A:99:LEU:HD23	0.42	2.50	6	1
1:A:132:MET:SD	1:A:139:VAL:HG13	0.42	2.54	2	2
1:A:64:TYR:CD1	1:A:99:LEU:HD12	0.42	2.50	16	1
1:A:64:TYR:CE1	1:A:99:LEU:HD12	0.41	2.51	5	2
1:A:108:LEU:CD1	1:A:124:SER:HB2	0.41	2.45	5	1
1:A:48:ALA:O	1:A:67:HIS:CE1	0.41	2.74	7	1
1:A:92:VAL:HG11	1:A:106:ILE:HD13	0.41	1.92	13	1

Continued on next page...

*Continued from previous page...*

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:128:LEU:C	1:A:128:LEU:HD23	0.41	2.36	19	1
1:A:12:ALA:HA	1:A:37:VAL:HG22	0.41	1.92	12	1
1:A:108:LEU:HG	1:A:121:VAL:HG12	0.41	1.92	13	1
1:A:68:ALA:HB2	1:A:92:VAL:CG2	0.41	2.46	3	1
1:A:108:LEU:C	1:A:108:LEU:HD13	0.41	2.36	4	1
1:A:108:LEU:HD11	1:A:124:SER:HB3	0.40	1.92	19	1

## 6.3 Torsion angles [\(i\)](#)

### 6.3.1 Protein backbone [\(i\)](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	142/166 (86%)	123±3 (86±2%)	16±3 (11±2%)	3±2 (2±1%)	8	47
All	All	2982/3486 (86%)	2576 (86%)	340 (11%)	66 (2%)	8	47

All 21 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	12	ALA	11
1	A	25	HIS	10
1	A	145	ASP	8
1	A	15	ILE	6
1	A	109	LEU	4
1	A	49	ALA	4
1	A	60	CYS	3
1	A	116	ALA	2
1	A	120	ARG	2
1	A	146	LYS	2
1	A	11	ILE	2
1	A	61	ASP	2
1	A	46	ARG	2
1	A	2	PRO	1
1	A	28	GLN	1
1	A	23	ALA	1

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	100	SER	1
1	A	144	ARG	1
1	A	39	ARG	1
1	A	14	ALA	1
1	A	42	PRO	1

### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles
1	A	113/131 (86%)	97±3 (86±2%)	16±3 (14±2%)	<b>5</b> 45
All	All	2373/2751 (86%)	2042 (86%)	331 (14%)	<b>5</b> 45

All 80 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	50	THR	19
1	A	11	ILE	15
1	A	159	ARG	15
1	A	125	LEU	12
1	A	148	TRP	11
1	A	132	MET	10
1	A	141	ILE	10
1	A	149	GLU	9
1	A	108	LEU	9
1	A	98	ARG	8
1	A	75	ASN	8
1	A	56	LYS	7
1	A	120	ARG	7
1	A	4	TYR	6
1	A	101	ILE	6
1	A	78	GLU	6
1	A	40	LYS	6
1	A	61	ASP	6
1	A	15	ILE	6
1	A	151	LYS	6

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	123	GLN	6
1	A	74	ASN	5
1	A	115	SER	5
1	A	65	ILE	5
1	A	146	LYS	5
1	A	5	THR	4
1	A	124	SER	4
1	A	129	LEU	4
1	A	126	SER	4
1	A	138	ARG	4
1	A	106	ILE	4
1	A	97	ASN	4
1	A	67	HIS	4
1	A	128	LEU	4
1	A	122	HIS	3
1	A	7	LYS	3
1	A	26	ARG	3
1	A	83	ARG	3
1	A	99	LEU	3
1	A	82	ASP	3
1	A	134	THR	3
1	A	150	GLN	3
1	A	8	ARG	3
1	A	39	ARG	3
1	A	46	ARG	3
1	A	143	CYS	3
1	A	63	THR	3
1	A	95	GLU	3
1	A	73	PHE	3
1	A	144	ARG	3
1	A	43	GLN	2
1	A	154	THR	2
1	A	28	GLN	2
1	A	41	TRP	2
1	A	157	GLN	2
1	A	59	LYS	2
1	A	16	GLU	2
1	A	140	THR	2
1	A	136	GLU	2
1	A	104	VAL	1
1	A	72	ASN	1
1	A	21	ASN	1

*Continued on next page...*



*Continued from previous page...*

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	10	ASP	1
1	A	127	HIS	1
1	A	147	THR	1
1	A	62	GLU	1
1	A	153	LYS	1
1	A	77	SER	1
1	A	145	ASP	1
1	A	54	THR	1
1	A	58	VAL	1
1	A	20	VAL	1
1	A	25	HIS	1
1	A	100	SER	1
1	A	60	CYS	1
1	A	90	ARG	1
1	A	76	THR	1
1	A	19	VAL	1
1	A	102	SER	1
1	A	158	ASN	1

### 6.3.3 RNA [i](#)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

### 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [i](#)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

### 6.5 Carbohydrates [i](#)

There are no oligosaccharides in this entry.

### 6.6 Ligand geometry [i](#)

There are no ligands in this entry.

### 6.7 Other polymers [i](#)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

## 6.8 Polymer linkage issues

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

## 7 Chemical shift validation i

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 73% for the well-defined parts and 71% for the entire structure.

### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *Book.str*

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping i

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	1494
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1494
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	7

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing i

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, ppm	Suggested action
$^{13}\text{C}_\alpha$	151	$0.22 \pm 0.12$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}_\beta$	141	$0.40 \pm 0.14$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}'$	1	—	None (insufficient data)
$^{15}\text{N}$	136	$-0.70 \pm 0.39$	None needed (imprecise)

#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments i

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 73%, i.e. 1414 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1924. 0 out of 20 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^1\text{H}$	$^{13}\text{C}$	$^{15}\text{N}$
Backbone	541/709 (76%)	271/285 (95%)	141/286 (49%)	129/138 (93%)
Sidechain	871/1107 (79%)	598/723 (83%)	273/331 (82%)	0/53 (0%)

*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...

	Total	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>15</sup> N
Aromatic	2/108 (2%)	2/54 (4%)	0/48 (0%)	0/6 (0%)
Overall	1414/1924 (73%)	871/1062 (82%)	414/665 (62%)	129/197 (65%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 71%, i.e. 1494 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 2109. 0 out of 22 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>15</sup> N
Backbone	578/793 (73%)	290/321 (90%)	152/318 (48%)	136/154 (88%)
Sidechain	914/1198 (76%)	625/782 (80%)	289/359 (81%)	0/57 (0%)
Aromatic	2/118 (2%)	2/59 (3%)	0/53 (0%)	0/6 (0%)
Overall	1494/2109 (71%)	917/1162 (79%)	441/730 (60%)	136/217 (63%)

#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts [i](#)


The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	A	126	SER	HB2	1.84	2.61 – 5.13	-8.1
1	A	126	SER	HB3	1.84	2.49 – 5.20	-7.4
1	A	40	LYS	HG3	-0.46	0.04 – 2.67	-6.9
1	A	40	LYS	HG2	-0.15	0.13 – 2.61	-6.1
1	A	65	ILE	HG21	-0.58	-0.56 – 2.11	-5.1
1	A	65	ILE	HG22	-0.58	-0.56 – 2.11	-5.1
1	A	65	ILE	HG23	-0.58	-0.56 – 2.11	-5.1

#### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots [i](#)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:



## 8 NMR restraints analysis

### 8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	1347
Intra-residue ( $ i-j =0$ )	131
Sequential ( $ i-j =1$ )	407
Medium range ( $ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$ )	391
Long range ( $ i-j \geq 5$ )	418
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	0
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	0
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	8.1
Number of long range restraints per residue <sup>1</sup>	2.5

<sup>1</sup>Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

### 8.2 Residual restraint violations

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

#### 8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	1.4	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	None	None
>0.5 (Large)	None	None

### 8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model

Dihedral-angle violations less than  $1^\circ$  are not included in the calculation. There are no dihedral-angle violations

## 9 Distance violation analysis [i](#)

### 9.1 Summary of distance violations [i](#)


The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Restrains type	Count	% <sup>1</sup>	Violated <sup>3</sup>			Consistently Violated <sup>4</sup>		
			Count	% <sup>2</sup>	% <sup>1</sup>	Count	% <sup>2</sup>	% <sup>1</sup>
<b>Intra-residue (<math> i-j =0</math>)</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>9.7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	131	9.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
<b>Sequential (<math> i-j =1</math>)</b>	<b>407</b>	<b>30.2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>0.1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Backbone-Backbone	150	11.1	1	0.7	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	255	18.9	1	0.4	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	2	0.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
<b>Medium range (<math> i-j &gt;1</math> &amp; <math> i-j &lt;5</math>)</b>	<b>391</b>	<b>29.0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Backbone-Backbone	224	16.6	3	1.3	0.2	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	147	10.9	2	1.4	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	20	1.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
<b>Long range (<math> i-j \geq 5</math>)</b>	<b>418</b>	<b>31.0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Backbone-Backbone	109	8.1	1	0.9	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	240	17.8	3	1.2	0.2	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	69	5.1	1	1.4	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
<b>Inter-chain</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
<b>Hydrogen bond</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
<b>Disulfide bond</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>1347</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Backbone-Backbone	483	35.9	5	1.0	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	773	57.4	6	0.8	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	91	6.8	1	1.1	0.1	0	0.0	0.0

<sup>1</sup> percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, <sup>2</sup> percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, <sup>3</sup> violated in at least one model, <sup>4</sup> violated in all the models



### 9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations [i](#)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

## 9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model [i](#)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD <sup>6</sup> (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR <sup>1</sup>	SQ <sup>2</sup>	MR <sup>3</sup>	LR <sup>4</sup>	IC <sup>5</sup>	Total				
1	0	0	1	1	0	2	0.14	0.14	0.01	0.14
2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.11	0.11	0.0	0.11
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	0	0	1	1	0	2	0.11	0.12	0.01	0.11
5	0	0	1	1	0	2	0.12	0.13	0.01	0.12
6	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.13	0.13	0.0	0.13
7	0	1	0	2	0	3	0.11	0.11	0.0	0.11
8	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.11	0.11	0.0	0.11
9	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.12	0.12	0.0	0.12
10	0	0	0	2	0	2	0.12	0.12	0.0	0.12

*Continued on next page...*


Continued from previous page...

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD <sup>6</sup> (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR <sup>1</sup>	SQ <sup>2</sup>	MR <sup>3</sup>	LR <sup>4</sup>	IC <sup>5</sup>	Total				
11	0	0	1	1	0	2	0.12	0.14	0.02	0.12
12	0	1	1	2	0	4	0.11	0.12	0.0	0.11
13	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.11	0.11	0.0	0.11
14	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
16	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.18	0.18	0.0	0.18
17	0	0	1	2	0	3	0.12	0.13	0.01	0.12
18	0	0	0	1	0	1	0.12	0.12	0.0	0.12
19	0	0	1	2	0	3	0.15	0.2	0.04	0.12
20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
21	0	1	0	1	0	2	0.12	0.13	0.02	0.12

<sup>1</sup>Intra-residue restraints, <sup>2</sup>Sequential restraints, <sup>3</sup>Medium range restraints, <sup>4</sup>Long range restraints,

<sup>5</sup>Inter-chain restraints, <sup>6</sup>Standard deviation

### 9.2.1 Bar graph : Distance Violation statistics for each model [i](#)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right


### 9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 1335(IR:131, SQ:405, MR:386, LR:413, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble	
IR <sup>1</sup>	SQ <sup>2</sup>	MR <sup>3</sup>	LR <sup>4</sup>	IC <sup>5</sup>	Total	Count <sup>6</sup>	%
0	1	4	2	0	7	1	4.8
0	0	0	0	0	0	2	9.5
0	1	1	1	0	3	3	14.3
0	0	0	1	0	1	4	19.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	5	23.8
0	0	0	0	0	0	6	28.6
0	0	0	0	0	0	7	33.3
0	0	0	0	0	0	8	38.1
0	0	0	0	0	0	9	42.9
0	0	0	0	0	0	10	47.6
0	0	0	0	0	0	11	52.4
0	0	0	0	0	0	12	57.1
0	0	0	1	0	1	13	61.9
0	0	0	0	0	0	14	66.7
0	0	0	0	0	0	15	71.4
0	0	0	0	0	0	16	76.2
0	0	0	0	0	0	17	81.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	18	85.7
0	0	0	0	0	0	19	90.5
0	0	0	0	0	0	20	95.2
0	0	0	0	0	0	21	100.0

<sup>1</sup>Intra-residue restraints, <sup>2</sup>Sequential restraints, <sup>3</sup>Medium range restraints, <sup>4</sup>Long range restraints, <sup>5</sup>Inter-chain restraints, <sup>6</sup> Number of models with violations


### 9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble [i](#)



## 9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble [i](#)

### 9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations [i](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble



#### 9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints [i](#)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.


Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Models <sup>1</sup>	Mean (Å)	SD <sup>1</sup> (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,864)	1:108:A:LEU:HG	1:123:A:GLN:H	13	0.13	0.02	0.12
(1,26)	1:5:A:THR:H	1:156:A:LEU:HG	4	0.11	0.0	0.11
(1,215)	1:26:A:ARG:H	1:28:A:GLN:H	3	0.12	0.0	0.12
(1,65)	1:9:A:ALA:H	1:146:A:LYS:H	3	0.11	0.01	0.11
(1,299)	1:46:A:ARG:H	1:47:A:ASN:HA	3	0.11	0.0	0.11

<sup>1</sup>Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation

### 9.5 All violated distance restraints [i](#)

#### 9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations [i](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



### 9.5.2 Table : All distance violations [i](#)

The following table lists the absolute value of the violation for each restraint in the ensemble sorted by its value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,864)	1:108:A:LEU:HG	1:123:A:GLN:H	19	0.2
(1,346)	1:53:A:GLY:H	1:69:A:VAL:HB	16	0.18
(1,864)	1:108:A:LEU:HG	1:123:A:GLN:H	11	0.14
(1,529)	1:74:A:ASN:H	1:76:A:THR:H	1	0.14
(1,1084)	1:149:A:GLU:HA	1:152:A:ILE:HB	17	0.13
(1,864)	1:108:A:LEU:HG	1:123:A:GLN:H	1	0.13
(1,864)	1:108:A:LEU:HG	1:123:A:GLN:H	5	0.13
(1,864)	1:108:A:LEU:HG	1:123:A:GLN:H	6	0.13
(1,19)	1:4:A:TYR:HD1	1:139:A:VAL:HB	21	0.13
(1,864)	1:108:A:LEU:HG	1:123:A:GLN:H	9	0.12
(1,864)	1:108:A:LEU:HG	1:123:A:GLN:H	10	0.12
(1,864)	1:108:A:LEU:HG	1:123:A:GLN:H	12	0.12
(1,864)	1:108:A:LEU:HG	1:123:A:GLN:H	17	0.12
(1,864)	1:108:A:LEU:HG	1:123:A:GLN:H	18	0.12
(1,215)	1:26:A:ARG:H	1:28:A:GLN:H	4	0.12
(1,215)	1:26:A:ARG:H	1:28:A:GLN:H	19	0.12

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,65)	1:9:A:ALA:H	1:146:A:LYS:H	19	0.12
(1,887)	1:115:A:SER:HB2	1:118:A:LYS:H	5	0.11
(1,864)	1:108:A:LEU:HG	1:123:A:GLN:H	2	0.11
(1,864)	1:108:A:LEU:HG	1:123:A:GLN:H	7	0.11
(1,299)	1:46:A:ARG:H	1:47:A:ASN:HA	8	0.11
(1,299)	1:46:A:ARG:H	1:47:A:ASN:HA	12	0.11
(1,215)	1:26:A:ARG:H	1:28:A:GLN:H	11	0.11
(1,203)	1:25:A:HIS:HA	1:27:A:GLY:H	12	0.11
(1,65)	1:9:A:ALA:H	1:146:A:LYS:H	17	0.11
(1,26)	1:5:A:THR:H	1:156:A:LEU:HG	7	0.11
(1,26)	1:5:A:THR:H	1:156:A:LEU:HG	10	0.11
(1,26)	1:5:A:THR:H	1:156:A:LEU:HG	12	0.11
(1,26)	1:5:A:THR:H	1:156:A:LEU:HG	13	0.11
(1,864)	1:108:A:LEU:HG	1:123:A:GLN:H	14	0.1
(1,299)	1:46:A:ARG:H	1:47:A:ASN:HA	7	0.1
(1,81)	1:12:A:ALA:H	1:13:A:THR:HB	21	0.1
(1,65)	1:9:A:ALA:H	1:146:A:LYS:H	4	0.1

## 10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis

No dihedral-angle restraints found