



# Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report ⓘ

Apr 21, 2024 – 03:35 AM EDT

PDB ID : 2MBV  
BMRB ID : 19415  
Title : LMO4-LIM2 in complex with DEAF1 (404-418)  
Authors : Joseph, S.; Matthews, J.M.; Kwan, A.H.-Y.; Mackay, J.P.; Cubeddu, L.; Foo, P.  
Deposited on : 2013-08-06

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at [validation@mail.wwpdb.org](mailto:validation@mail.wwpdb.org)

A user guide is available at

<https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp>

with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at

<http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types>.

---

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity : 4.02b-467  
Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)  
wwPDB-RCI : v\_1n\_11\_5\_13\_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)  
PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)  
wwPDB-ShiftChecker : v1.2  
Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001)  
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)  
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.36.2


# 1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:

*SOLUTION NMR*

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 89%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for  $\geq 3$ , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions  $\leq 5\%$

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	96	

## 2 Ensemble composition and analysis

This entry contains 20 models. Model 13 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:79-A:146, A:207-A:208, A:404-A:415 (82)	1.14	13

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters and 4 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 6, 7, 11, 12, 13, 14, 19
2	2, 8, 15, 16, 17
3	9, 10, 18
Single-model clusters	3; 4; 5; 20

### 3 Entry composition

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 1389 atoms, of which 678 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called Fusion protein of LIM domain transcription factor LMO4 (77-147) and DEAF1 (404-418).

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms					Trace	
			Total	C	H	N	O		S
1	A	96	1387	439	678	131	132	7	0

There are 10 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	1	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP P61969
A	2	SER	-	expression tag	UNP P61969
A	201	GLY	-	linker	UNP P61969
A	202	GLY	-	linker	UNP P61969
A	203	SER	-	linker	UNP P61969
A	204	GLY	-	linker	UNP P61969
A	205	GLY	-	linker	UNP P61969
A	206	SER	-	linker	UNP P61969
A	207	GLY	-	linker	UNP P61969
A	208	SER	-	linker	UNP P61969

- Molecule 2 is ZINC ION (three-letter code: ZN) (formula: Zn).


Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms	
			Total	Zn
2	A	2	2	2

## 4 Residue-property plots

### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1: Fusion protein of LIM domain transcription factor LMO4 (77-147) and DEAF1 (404-418)




### 4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

#### 4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

- Molecule 1: Fusion protein of LIM domain transcription factor LMO4 (77-147) and DEAF1 (404-418)




#### 4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

- Molecule 1: Fusion protein of LIM domain transcription factor LMO4 (77-147) and DEAF1 (404-418)




#### 4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

- Molecule 1: Fusion protein of LIM domain transcription factor LMO4 (77-147) and DEAF1 (404-418)




#### 4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

- Molecule 1: Fusion protein of LIM domain transcription factor LMO4 (77-147) and DEAF1 (404-418)




#### 4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

- Molecule 1: Fusion protein of LIM domain transcription factor LMO4 (77-147) and DEAF1 (404-418)




#### 4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

- Molecule 1: Fusion protein of LIM domain transcription factor LMO4 (77-147) and DEAF1 (404-418)




#### 4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

- Molecule 1: Fusion protein of LIM domain transcription factor LMO4 (77-147) and DEAF1 (404-418)



#### 4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8


- Molecule 1: Fusion protein of LIM domain transcription factor LMO4 (77-147) and DEAF1 (404-418)



#### 4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

- Molecule 1: Fusion protein of LIM domain transcription factor LMO4 (77-147) and DEAF1 (404-418)






#### 4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

- Molecule 1: Fusion protein of LIM domain transcription factor LMO4 (77-147) and DEAF1 (404-418)


Chain A: 40% 41% 5% 15%



#### 4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

- Molecule 1: Fusion protein of LIM domain transcription factor LMO4 (77-147) and DEAF1 (404-418)


Chain A: 50% 27% 8% 15%



#### 4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12

- Molecule 1: Fusion protein of LIM domain transcription factor LMO4 (77-147) and DEAF1 (404-418)


Chain A: 40% 36% 9% 15%






#### 4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13 (medoid)

- Molecule 1: Fusion protein of LIM domain transcription factor LMO4 (77-147) and DEAF1 (404-418)




#### 4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

- Molecule 1: Fusion protein of LIM domain transcription factor LMO4 (77-147) and DEAF1 (404-418)



#### 4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15


- Molecule 1: Fusion protein of LIM domain transcription factor LMO4 (77-147) and DEAF1 (404-418)



#### 4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

- Molecule 1: Fusion protein of LIM domain transcription factor LMO4 (77-147) and DEAF1 (404-418)






#### 4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17

- Molecule 1: Fusion protein of LIM domain transcription factor LMO4 (77-147) and DEAF1 (404-418)


Chain A: 43% 38% 5% 15%



#### 4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

- Molecule 1: Fusion protein of LIM domain transcription factor LMO4 (77-147) and DEAF1 (404-418)


Chain A: 48% 29% 8% 15%



#### 4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

- Molecule 1: Fusion protein of LIM domain transcription factor LMO4 (77-147) and DEAF1 (404-418)


Chain A: 38% 38% 10% 15%



#### 4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

- Molecule 1: Fusion protein of LIM domain transcription factor LMO4 (77-147) and DEAF1 (404-418)

Chain A: 



## 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing, molecular dynamics, matrix relaxation, torsion angle dynamics, distance geometry*.

Of the 50 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
ARIA	structure solution	1.2
ARIA	refinement	0.2

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	1065
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1065
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	89%

## 6 Model quality i

### 6.1 Standard geometry i

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section:  
ZN

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

### 6.2 Too-close contacts i

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	616	593	593	13±3
All	All	12360	11860	11860	255

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 11.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:118:CYS:SG	1:A:140:ASP:HB3	0.82	2.14	9	6
1:A:109:HIS:HB2	1:A:112:CYS:SG	0.81	2.15	6	20
1:A:80:LEU:HB3	1:A:86:ALA:HB2	0.78	1.54	1	5
1:A:95:PRO:HD2	1:A:98:GLU:HB2	0.71	1.63	16	6
1:A:109:HIS:H	1:A:112:CYS:HB2	0.71	1.44	15	4
1:A:114:THR:HG22	1:A:121:ARG:HA	0.69	1.64	19	15
1:A:137:CYS:SG	1:A:139:HIS:HB3	0.67	2.30	15	4
1:A:100:VAL:HB	1:A:108:TYR:O	0.66	1.90	20	5
1:A:89:ALA:HB3	1:A:112:CYS:HB3	0.65	1.67	14	9
1:A:80:LEU:HD13	1:A:83:ASN:HA	0.65	1.68	11	2
1:A:79:ARG:HE	1:A:91:GLY:HA3	0.65	1.51	4	6
1:A:87:CYS:HB3	1:A:91:GLY:H	0.65	1.51	15	5

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:93:SER:HB3	1:A:414:THR:HG21	0.64	1.70	7	5
1:A:127:ARG:HD3	1:A:138:GLU:HG3	0.63	1.70	8	1
1:A:100:VAL:HG21	1:A:411:ALA:HB2	0.62	1.70	20	2
1:A:94:ILE:O	1:A:413:PRO:HA	0.61	1.95	9	1
1:A:137:CYS:SG	1:A:139:HIS:HB2	0.60	2.36	14	3
1:A:87:CYS:HB2	1:A:108:TYR:HA	0.60	1.72	1	1
1:A:96:ALA:HB2	1:A:413:PRO:HG3	0.60	1.72	15	1
1:A:79:ARG:NE	1:A:91:GLY:HA3	0.59	2.13	4	1
1:A:131:ILE:HG21	1:A:146:ILE:HG23	0.58	1.74	3	1
1:A:118:CYS:SG	1:A:140:ASP:HB2	0.58	2.38	5	1
1:A:80:LEU:HD12	1:A:84:SER:H	0.57	1.59	13	1
1:A:122:LEU:HD22	1:A:128:PHE:HB2	0.57	1.75	1	6
1:A:131:ILE:HD13	1:A:142:PRO:HG2	0.56	1.76	15	1
1:A:80:LEU:HD21	1:A:83:ASN:HA	0.56	1.78	12	1
1:A:111:LYS:HE2	1:A:112:CYS:SG	0.56	2.41	14	1
1:A:90:CYS:SG	1:A:91:GLY:N	0.55	2.78	16	6
1:A:94:ILE:HG12	1:A:100:VAL:HG11	0.55	1.79	10	3
1:A:89:ALA:CB	1:A:112:CYS:HB3	0.54	2.32	14	7
1:A:406:PRO:O	1:A:407:PHE:HB2	0.54	2.02	10	4
1:A:128:PHE:O	1:A:208:SER:HB2	0.54	2.03	3	3
1:A:109:HIS:N	1:A:112:CYS:SG	0.54	2.75	17	8
1:A:80:LEU:HD21	1:A:414:THR:HB	0.54	1.80	5	2
1:A:122:LEU:HD22	1:A:128:PHE:HA	0.53	1.79	20	1
1:A:80:LEU:HB3	1:A:83:ASN:HA	0.53	1.81	6	2
1:A:118:CYS:SG	1:A:120:ASN:HB2	0.53	2.44	20	3
1:A:127:ARG:HB2	1:A:138:GLU:HB2	0.53	1.80	11	1
1:A:80:LEU:HD11	1:A:84:SER:H	0.53	1.63	15	2
1:A:115:CYS:HB3	1:A:120:ASN:H	0.53	1.63	18	1
1:A:407:PHE:CD2	1:A:408:PRO:HD2	0.53	2.39	12	2
1:A:120:ASN:HD22	1:A:137:CYS:HB2	0.52	1.63	14	3
1:A:115:CYS:O	1:A:119:ARG:HA	0.51	2.05	18	6
1:A:127:ARG:HB3	1:A:138:GLU:HB3	0.51	1.82	19	1
1:A:130:TYR:CZ	1:A:133:GLY:HA2	0.51	2.41	12	2
1:A:96:ALA:HB2	1:A:413:PRO:HB3	0.51	1.81	17	2
1:A:124:PRO:HB3	1:A:407:PHE:HA	0.50	1.82	8	1
1:A:99:LEU:HB3	1:A:408:PRO:HB2	0.50	1.84	4	1
1:A:407:PHE:CD1	1:A:408:PRO:HD2	0.49	2.42	8	1
1:A:137:CYS:SG	1:A:140:ASP:N	0.49	2.85	10	4
1:A:80:LEU:HB2	1:A:83:ASN:HA	0.49	1.84	16	1
1:A:80:LEU:HD12	1:A:86:ALA:HB2	0.49	1.85	5	1
1:A:137:CYS:H	1:A:140:ASP:HB2	0.49	1.68	13	2

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:90:CYS:SG	1:A:111:LYS:NZ	0.49	2.86	8	1
1:A:80:LEU:HD12	1:A:83:ASN:HA	0.48	1.85	9	2
1:A:88:SER:HB2	1:A:108:TYR:CE1	0.47	2.45	12	1
1:A:110:LEU:HD21	1:A:408:PRO:HG3	0.47	1.86	12	1
1:A:117:THR:HB	1:A:118:CYS:SG	0.47	2.49	5	1
1:A:94:ILE:HD13	1:A:100:VAL:HG11	0.46	1.85	1	1
1:A:87:CYS:HB2	1:A:109:HIS:CE1	0.46	2.45	3	5
1:A:87:CYS:O	1:A:91:GLY:HA2	0.46	2.11	15	1
1:A:109:HIS:CB	1:A:112:CYS:SG	0.46	3.01	18	7
1:A:122:LEU:HD13	1:A:128:PHE:HD2	0.46	1.71	3	2
1:A:129:HIS:HB2	1:A:136:PHE:HB2	0.46	1.87	6	3
1:A:99:LEU:HB2	1:A:408:PRO:HB2	0.45	1.88	6	1
1:A:134:SER:HB2	1:A:136:PHE:CE2	0.45	2.46	20	1
1:A:131:ILE:HD11	1:A:146:ILE:HD12	0.45	1.88	4	1
1:A:80:LEU:HD23	1:A:80:LEU:N	0.45	2.26	13	1
1:A:86:ALA:HA	1:A:94:ILE:HG13	0.45	1.89	1	1
1:A:129:HIS:CD2	1:A:141:ARG:HG3	0.45	2.47	12	1
1:A:120:ASN:HB2	1:A:137:CYS:SG	0.45	2.51	16	1
1:A:81:PHE:HB2	1:A:93:SER:OG	0.44	2.12	18	1
1:A:122:LEU:HD13	1:A:128:PHE:CD1	0.44	2.47	7	1
1:A:122:LEU:HD13	1:A:128:PHE:CD2	0.44	2.48	1	1
1:A:80:LEU:CB	1:A:83:ASN:HA	0.44	2.43	6	1
1:A:142:PRO:O	1:A:146:ILE:HB	0.44	2.13	7	1
1:A:118:CYS:HB3	1:A:140:ASP:CG	0.44	2.32	20	1
1:A:115:CYS:SG	1:A:119:ARG:N	0.44	2.91	5	1
1:A:123:VAL:HB	1:A:126:ASP:CB	0.44	2.43	9	1
1:A:137:CYS:SG	1:A:140:ASP:HB2	0.44	2.53	10	1
1:A:129:HIS:O	1:A:135:LEU:HA	0.43	2.12	9	1
1:A:123:VAL:O	1:A:405:ALA:HB3	0.43	2.13	7	2
1:A:128:PHE:CE2	1:A:130:TYR:HB2	0.43	2.49	4	1
1:A:118:CYS:HB3	1:A:140:ASP:CB	0.43	2.43	20	1
1:A:115:CYS:HB2	1:A:119:ARG:N	0.43	2.29	20	1
1:A:114:THR:CG2	1:A:121:ARG:HA	0.42	2.43	9	1
1:A:114:THR:HG22	1:A:121:ARG:HG2	0.42	1.90	8	1
1:A:122:LEU:HD11	1:A:135:LEU:HB3	0.42	1.91	10	2
1:A:114:THR:HG22	1:A:121:ARG:CA	0.42	2.42	9	1
1:A:95:PRO:HD2	1:A:98:GLU:CB	0.42	2.44	13	1
1:A:405:ALA:HA	1:A:406:PRO:HD3	0.42	1.77	7	1
1:A:84:SER:CB	1:A:414:THR:HA	0.42	2.45	18	1
1:A:80:LEU:HD11	1:A:93:SER:HA	0.42	1.91	8	1
1:A:92:GLN:NE2	1:A:92:GLN:HA	0.42	2.30	12	1

*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:80:LEU:HB3	1:A:86:ALA:CB	0.41	2.38	1	1
1:A:127:ARG:HD2	1:A:138:GLU:OE2	0.41	2.15	2	1
1:A:80:LEU:HG	1:A:86:ALA:HB2	0.41	1.91	18	1
1:A:128:PHE:CZ	1:A:130:TYR:HB2	0.41	2.51	19	1
1:A:414:THR:O	1:A:415:SER:HB2	0.41	2.15	20	1
1:A:113:PHE:CE2	1:A:406:PRO:HD2	0.41	2.50	14	1
1:A:140:ASP:OD1	1:A:141:ARG:N	0.41	2.54	1	1
1:A:118:CYS:O	1:A:119:ARG:HG3	0.41	2.16	14	1
1:A:145:LEU:HD22	1:A:145:LEU:HA	0.41	1.77	7	1
1:A:111:LYS:H	1:A:111:LYS:HG3	0.41	1.46	11	1
1:A:412:LEU:O	1:A:412:LEU:HG	0.40	2.15	19	1
1:A:82:GLY:O	1:A:83:ASN:HB2	0.40	2.17	4	1
1:A:84:SER:HB2	1:A:412:LEU:HB3	0.40	1.93	9	1
1:A:82:GLY:HA3	1:A:415:SER:HA	0.40	1.92	20	1

## 6.3 Torsion angles [i](#)

### 6.3.1 Protein backbone [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	82/96 (85%)	69±2 (84±2%)	11±2 (14±2%)	2±2 (2±2%)	10	49
All	All	1640/1920 (85%)	1376 (84%)	228 (14%)	36 (2%)	10	49

All 18 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	104	GLN	5
1	A	142	PRO	4
1	A	406	PRO	4
1	A	141	ARG	3
1	A	83	ASN	3
1	A	79	ARG	2
1	A	407	PHE	2
1	A	140	ASP	2

Continued on next page...



*Continued from previous page...*

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	146	ILE	2
1	A	93	SER	1
1	A	105	GLY	1
1	A	144	ALA	1
1	A	143	THR	1
1	A	119	ARG	1
1	A	81	PHE	1
1	A	207	GLY	1
1	A	84	SER	1
1	A	404	ILE	1

### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles
1	A	67/76 (88%)	40±3 (59±4%)	27±3 (41±4%)	<b>0</b> <b>4</b>
All	All	1340/1520 (88%)	793 (59%)	547 (41%)	<b>0</b> <b>4</b>

All 55 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	97	SER	20
1	A	117	THR	20
1	A	113	PHE	19
1	A	119	ARG	19
1	A	111	LYS	17
1	A	128	PHE	17
1	A	93	SER	16
1	A	101	MET	16
1	A	118	CYS	16
1	A	208	SER	16
1	A	84	SER	15
1	A	88	SER	15
1	A	143	THR	15
1	A	145	LEU	15
1	A	134	SER	14

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	80	LEU	13
1	A	104	GLN	13
1	A	102	ARG	13
1	A	127	ARG	13
1	A	107	VAL	12
1	A	116	SER	12
1	A	141	ARG	12
1	A	110	LEU	12
1	A	121	ARG	11
1	A	146	ILE	11
1	A	412	LEU	11
1	A	79	ARG	11
1	A	92	GLN	10
1	A	414	THR	10
1	A	98	GLU	10
1	A	409	GLU	10
1	A	140	ASP	10
1	A	100	VAL	10
1	A	112	CYS	9
1	A	114	THR	9
1	A	87	CYS	8
1	A	138	GLU	8
1	A	415	SER	8
1	A	123	VAL	7
1	A	126	ASP	6
1	A	131	ILE	5
1	A	81	PHE	5
1	A	139	HIS	4
1	A	132	ASN	4
1	A	122	LEU	3
1	A	99	LEU	3
1	A	407	PHE	3
1	A	83	ASN	3
1	A	129	HIS	2
1	A	106	ASN	1
1	A	115	CYS	1
1	A	130	TYR	1
1	A	137	CYS	1
1	A	142	PRO	1
1	A	120	ASN	1

### 6.3.3 RNA [i](#)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

### 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [i](#)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

### 6.5 Carbohydrates [i](#)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

### 6.6 Ligand geometry [i](#)

Of 2 ligands modelled in this entry, 2 are monoatomic - leaving 0 for Mogul analysis.

### 6.7 Other polymers [i](#)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

### 6.8 Polymer linkage issues [i](#)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

## 7 Chemical shift validation [i](#)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 89% for the well-defined parts and 88% for the entire structure.

### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_1*

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping [i](#)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	1065
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1065
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing [i](#)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, ppm	Suggested action
$^{13}\text{C}_\alpha$	95	$0.07 \pm 0.14$	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}_\beta$	84	$-0.04 \pm 0.19$	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}'$	90	$0.28 \pm 0.17$	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{15}\text{N}$	87	$-0.38 \pm 0.51$	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)

#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments [i](#)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 89%, i.e. 947 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1059. 0 out of 10 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^1\text{H}$	$^{13}\text{C}$	$^{15}\text{N}$
Backbone	400/405 (99%)	163/165 (99%)	161/164 (98%)	76/76 (100%)
Sidechain	505/563 (90%)	342/369 (93%)	152/169 (90%)	11/25 (44%)

*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...

	Total	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>15</sup> N
Aromatic	42/91 (46%)	39/45 (87%)	0/41 (0%)	3/5 (60%)
Overall	947/1059 (89%)	544/579 (94%)	313/374 (84%)	90/106 (85%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 88%, i.e. 1065 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1206. 0 out of 10 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>15</sup> N
Backbone	459/478 (96%)	187/197 (95%)	185/192 (96%)	87/89 (98%)
Sidechain	556/620 (90%)	376/406 (93%)	169/187 (90%)	11/27 (41%)
Aromatic	50/108 (46%)	45/53 (85%)	0/48 (0%)	5/7 (71%)
Overall	1065/1206 (88%)	608/656 (93%)	354/427 (83%)	103/123 (84%)

#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts [i](#)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

#### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots [i](#)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:

