



# Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report ⓘ

Nov 13, 2024 – 10:50 AM EST

PDB ID : 2M2O  
BMRB ID : 18924  
Title : Structure of [D-HisB24] insulin analogue at pH 1.9  
Authors : Zakova, L.; Veverka, V.; Jiracek, J.  
Deposited on : 2012-12-29

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at [validation@mail.wwpdb.org](mailto:validation@mail.wwpdb.org)

A user guide is available at

<https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp>

with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at

<http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types>.

---

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity : 4.02b-467  
Percentile statistics : 20231227.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 27th 2023)  
wwPDB-RCI : v\_1n\_11\_5\_13\_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)  
PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)  
wwPDB-ShiftChecker : v1.2  
Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001)  
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)  
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.39


# 1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:

*SOLUTION NMR*

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 54%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	210492	14027
Ramachandran outliers	207382	12486
Sidechain outliers	206894	12463

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for  $\geq 3$ , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions  $\leq 5\%$

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	21	
2	B	30	

## 2 Ensemble composition and analysis

This entry contains 29 models. Model 6 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *closest to the average*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:2-A:21, B:1-B:20 (40)	0.81	6

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 5 clusters and 2 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 3, 6, 7, 10, 14, 15, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25
2	4, 11, 12, 13, 16, 20, 26
3	9, 18, 27
4	2, 8, 19
5	5, 29
Single-model clusters	17; 28

### 3 Entry composition

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 780 atoms, of which 377 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called Insulin A chain.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms					Trace	
			Total	C	H	N	O		S
1	A	21	311	99	149	25	34	4	0

- Molecule 2 is a protein called Insulin B chain.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms					Trace	
			Total	C	H	N	O		S
2	B	30	469	155	228	42	42	2	0

There is a discrepancy between the modelled and reference sequences:


Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
B	24	DHI	PHE	engineered mutation	UNP P01308

## 4 Residue-property plots


### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain



- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain




### 4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

#### 4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain



- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain



### 4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain

Chain A:  95% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain

Chain B:  60% 7% 33%



### 4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3


- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain

Chain A:  95% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain

Chain B:  63% 33%



### 4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain

Chain A:  86% 10% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain

Chain B:  60% 7% 33%



#### 4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain

Chain A:  86% 10% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain

Chain B:  63% 33%



#### 4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6 (medoid)


- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain

Chain A:  95% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain

Chain B:  63% 33%



#### 4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7


- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain

Chain B:  67% 33%



### 4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8


- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain

Chain A:  95% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain

Chain B:  67% 33%



### 4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9


- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain

Chain B:  67% 33%



### 4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10


- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain


Chain B:  67% 33%






#### 4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11


- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain

Chain A:  86% 5% 5% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain

Chain B:  63% 33%



#### 4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12


- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain

Chain A:  86% 10% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain

Chain B:  63% 33%



#### 4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13


- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain

Chain A:  86% 10% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain

Chain B:  67% 33%



#### 4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14


- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain

Chain A:  95% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain

Chain B:  67% 33%



#### 4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15


- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain

Chain A:  95% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain


Chain B:  63% 33%



#### 4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16


- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain

Chain A:  86% 10% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain

Chain B:  57% 10% 33%



#### 4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17

- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain

Chain A:  95% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain

Chain B:  57% 10% 33%



#### 4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18


- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain

Chain B:  67% 33%



#### 4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19


- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain

Chain A:  95% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain

Chain B:  63% 33%



#### 4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20


- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain

Chain A:  95% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain

Chain B:  67% 33%



#### 4.2.21 Score per residue for model 21


- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain

Chain B:  63% 33%



#### 4.2.22 Score per residue for model 22


- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain

Chain A:  86% 10% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain

Chain B:  63% 33%



#### 4.2.23 Score per residue for model 23

- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain

Chain A:  95% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain

Chain B:  63% 33%



#### 4.2.24 Score per residue for model 24


- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain

Chain B:  63% 33%



#### 4.2.25 Score per residue for model 25


- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain

Chain A:  95% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain

Chain B:  67% 33%



#### 4.2.26 Score per residue for model 26


- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain

Chain B:  67% 33%



#### 4.2.27 Score per residue for model 27


- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain

Chain A:  95% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain

Chain B:  67% 33%



#### 4.2.28 Score per residue for model 28

- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain

Chain A:  95% 5%




- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain

Chain B:  63% 33%



#### 4.2.29 Score per residue for model 29


- Molecule 1: Insulin A chain

Chain A:  90% 5% 5%



- Molecule 2: Insulin B chain

Chain B:  63% 33%



## 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 29 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the least restraint violations*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
Amber	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	353
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	353
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	54%



## 6 Model quality [i](#)

### 6.1 Standard geometry [i](#)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: DHI

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

Chiral center outliers are detected by calculating the chiral volume of a chiral center and verifying if the center is modelled as a planar moiety or with the opposite hand. A planarity outlier is detected by checking planarity of atoms in a peptide group, atoms in a mainchain group or atoms of a sidechain that are expected to be planar.

Mol	Chain	Chirality	Planarity
1	A	0.0±0.0	0.1±0.3
All	All	0	2

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

All unique planar outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group	Models (Total)
1	A	6	CYS	Peptide	1
1	A	4	GLU	Peptide	1

### 6.2 Too-close contacts [i](#)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	158	144	144	0±0
2	B	153	147	149	0±0
All	All	9019	8439	8497	2

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 0.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:2:ILE:HD13	1:A:19:TYR:CD2	0.66	2.25	11	1
2:B:5:HIS:H	2:B:5:HIS:CD2	0.41	2.33	2	1

## 6.3 Torsion angles [i](#)

### 6.3.1 Protein backbone [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	19/21 (90%)	19±1 (98±3%)	0±1 (2±3%)	0±0 (0±1%)	32	76
2	B	19/30 (63%)	18±1 (97±4%)	0±1 (2±3%)	0±0 (1±2%)	27	74
All	All	1102/1479 (75%)	1074 (97%)	23 (2%)	5 (0%)	27	74

All 5 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	11	CYS	1
2	B	6	LEU	1
2	B	9	SER	1
1	A	2	ILE	1
2	B	4	GLN	1

### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	20/20 (100%)	19±1 (97±4%)	1±1 (3±4%)	35	86
2	B	17/25 (68%)	16±1 (96±5%)	1±1 (4±5%)	27	80
All	All	1073/1305 (82%)	1033 (96%)	40 (4%)	31	83

All 14 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
2	B	3	ASN	7
2	B	7	CYS	7
2	B	16	TYR	4
1	A	13	LEU	4
1	A	2	ILE	3
1	A	21	ASN	3
1	A	6	CYS	2
1	A	16	LEU	2
1	A	11	CYS	2
2	B	11	LEU	2
1	A	17	GLU	1
1	A	8	THR	1
2	B	6	LEU	1
1	A	5	GLN	1

### 6.3.3 RNA [i](#)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

## 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [i](#)

1 non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residue is modelled in this entry.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no torsion outliers.

There are no ring outliers.

## 6.5 Carbohydrates [i](#)

There are no oligosaccharides in this entry.

## 6.6 Ligand geometry [i](#)

There are no ligands in this entry.

## 6.7 Other polymers [i](#)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

## 6.8 Polymer linkage issues [i](#)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

## 7 Chemical shift validation [i](#)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 54% for the well-defined parts and 53% for the entire structure.

### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_1*

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping [i](#)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	353
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	353
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing [i](#)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments [i](#)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 54%, i.e. 281 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 524. 0 out of 10 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>15</sup> N
Backbone	81/202 (40%)	81/82 (99%)	0/80 (0%)	0/40 (0%)
Sidechain	179/271 (66%)	179/179 (100%)	0/86 (0%)	0/6 (0%)
Aromatic	21/51 (41%)	21/25 (84%)	0/24 (0%)	0/2 (0%)
Overall	281/524 (54%)	281/286 (98%)	0/190 (0%)	0/48 (0%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 53%, i.e. 347 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 658. 0 out of 10 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>15</sup> N
Backbone	101/252 (40%)	101/103 (98%)	0/100 (0%)	0/49 (0%)
Sidechain	216/336 (64%)	216/220 (98%)	0/106 (0%)	0/10 (0%)
Aromatic	30/70 (43%)	30/34 (88%)	0/34 (0%)	0/2 (0%)
Overall	347/658 (53%)	347/357 (97%)	0/240 (0%)	0/61 (0%)


### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts [i](#)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots [i](#)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:



Random coil index (RCI) for chain B:

