

# Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report (i)

#### Jun 12, 2024 – 09:42 AM EDT

PDB ID	:	1X9B
Title	:	Solution NMR Structure of Protein Ta0354 from Thermoplasma acidophilum.
		Ontario Center for Structural Proteomics target TA0354_69_121; Northeast
		Structural Genomics Consortium Target TaT38.
Authors	:	Wu, B.; Yee, A.; Huang, Y.J.; Ramelot, T.A.; Semesi, A.; Lemak, A.; Ed-
		ward, A.; Kennedy, M.; Montelione, G.T.; Arrowsmith, C.H.; Northeast Struc-
		tural Genomics Consortium (NESG); Ontario Centre for Structural Proteomics
		(OCSP)
Deposited on	:	2004-08-20

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org A user guide is available at https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:


MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
wwPDB-RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. $(2010)$
wwPDB-ShiftChecker	:	v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber $(2001)$
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.36.2

## 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION\ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment was not calculated.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	$egin{array}{c} { m Whole \ archive} \ (\#{ m Entries}) \end{array}$	${f NMR} \ {f archive} \ (\#{f Entries})$
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain		
1	А	53	66%	21%	13%



## 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 10 models. Model 8 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues					
Well-defined core Residue range (total) Backbone RMSD (Å) Medoid model					
1	A:5-A:50 (46)	0.48	8		

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 2 clusters and 1 single-model cluster was found.

Cluster number	Models
1	3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10
2	1, 2, 6
Single-model clusters	9



## 3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 896 atoms, of which 460 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called hypothetical membrane protein ta0354\_69\_121.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms					Trace	
1	Δ	53	Total	С	Η	Ν	Ο	S	0
	A	55	896	274	460	82	79	1	0




# 4 Residue-property plots (i)

### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: hypothetical membrane protein ta0354\_69\_121




### 4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.


#### 4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

• Molecule 1: hypothetical membrane protein ta0354\_69\_121



#### 4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2


• Molecule 1: hypothetical membrane protein ta0354\_69\_121






#### 4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

• Molecule 1: hypothetical membrane protein ta0354\_69\_121




#### 4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

• Molecule 1: hypothetical membrane protein ta0354\_69\_121




#### 4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

• Molecule 1: hypothetical membrane protein ta0354\_69\_121



#### 4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

• Molecule 1: hypothetical membrane protein ta0354\_69\_121



#### 4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

• Molecule 1: hypothetical membrane protein ta0354\_69\_121

Chain A:	70%	17%	13%
R1 N2 84 15 15 15 16 16 16 16 16 17 16 16 17 16 17 16 17 16 16 17 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	L31 L35 K61 K51 K53 K63		



#### 4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8 (medoid)

• Molecule 1: hypothetical membrane protein ta0354\_69\_121

Chain A:	70%	17%	13%
R1 N2 15 13 11 13 11 8 8 7 8 7 27	L3 1 13 5 14 4 15 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1 16 1		

#### 4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

• Molecule 1: hypothetical membrane protein ta0354\_69\_121

Chain A:	68%	19%	13%
R1 N2 S4 D5 M12 S17	V22 N24 L25 L28 L28 L28 L28 L28 V52 V52 V53		

#### 4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

• Molecule 1: hypothetical membrane protein ta0354\_69\_121

Chain A:	55%	28%	• 13%
R1 L3 B5 M12 M12 P16	817 121 125 125 125 125 125 125 125 125 124 143 144 143 144 143 144 144 143 144 143 144 143 144 143 144 143 144 143 144 144		



## 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 56 calculated structures, 10 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
AutoStructure	structure solution	2.0
CNS	refinement	1.0

No chemical shift data was provided.



# 6 Model quality (i)

## 6.1 Standard geometry (i)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

### 6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	А	377	388	388	$5\pm 2$
All	All	3770	3880	3880	51

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 7.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:6:ARG:HD3	1:A:35:LEU:HD22	0.66	1.67	8	3
1:A:6:ARG:HG2	1:A:35:LEU:HD22	0.63	1.71	4	2
1:A:5:ASP:HB3	1:A:35:LEU:HD21	0.60	1.72	8	1
1:A:16:PRO:HG2	1:A:50:TRP:HE1	0.59	1.58	10	1
1:A:5:ASP:CB	1:A:35:LEU:HD21	0.59	2.28	8	1
1:A:31:LEU:O	1:A:35:LEU:HG	0.57	2.00	4	3
1:A:43:LEU:O	1:A:47:LYS:HG3	0.55	2.03	1	4
1:A:27:GLU:O	1:A:31:LEU:HG	0.54	2.03	5	4
1:A:20:VAL:O	1:A:23:ARG:HG2	0.53	2.03	5	1
1:A:12:MET:SD	1:A:24:ASN:HB2	0.51	2.46	10	2
1:A:21:PHE:O	1:A:25:LEU:HB2	0.50	2.06	10	3
1:A:5:ASP:OD2	1:A:34:ARG:HD3	0.46	2.11	4	1
1:A:5:ASP:HB2	1:A:35:LEU:HD21	0.46	1.88	10	2
1:A:5:ASP:HA	1:A:31:LEU:HD22	0.45	1.86	9	1

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Continued on next page...



Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:29:GLU:HG2	1:A:43:LEU:HD21	0.45	1.88	1	1
1:A:25:LEU:HA	1:A:28:LEU:HB2	0.45	1.87	4	5
1:A:21:PHE:CE2	1:A:47:LYS:HA	0.44	2.48	3	3
1:A:44:ASP:HA	1:A:47:LYS:CD	0.43	2.42	1	2
1:A:25:LEU:HD11	1:A:47:LYS:HE3	0.43	1.90	7	1
1:A:44:ASP:HA	1:A:47:LYS:HG3	0.43	1.91	10	3
1:A:17:SER:O	1:A:21:PHE:HB2	0.43	2.13	1	1
1:A:6:ARG:HA	1:A:35:LEU:HD11	0.43	1.91	2	1
1:A:24:ASN:O	1:A:28:LEU:HB2	0.42	2.14	1	1
1:A:29:GLU:O	1:A:33:VAL:HG23	0.42	2.15	5	1
1:A:10:GLU:HA	1:A:13:ILE:HG22	0.41	1.92	4	1
1:A:20:VAL:O	1:A:23:ARG:HG3	0.41	2.16	3	1
1:A:6:ARG:N	1:A:35:LEU:HD21	0.41	2.31	2	1

Continued from previous page...

### 6.3 Torsion angles (i)

#### 6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	А	46/53~(87%)	$44 \pm 1 (95 \pm 2\%)$	$2\pm1 (5\pm2\%)$	0±0 (0±1%)	38	78
All	All	460/530 (87%)	435 (95%)	23~(5%)	2(0%)	38	78

All 2 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	14	ASN	1
1	А	16	PRO	1

#### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent side chain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the side chain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.



Mol	Chain	Chain Analysed Rota		Outliers	Perce	entiles
1	А	41/48~(85%)	$37\pm2$ (89 $\pm4\%$ )	$4\pm2~(11\pm4\%)$	10	54
All	All	410/480 (85%)	366 (89%)	44 (11%)	10	54

All 14 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	22	VAL	10
1	А	17	SER	9
1	А	6	ARG	4
1	А	23	ARG	4
1	А	13	ILE	3
1	А	5	ASP	3
1	А	28	LEU	2
1	А	49	LYS	2
1	А	40	ARG	2
1	А	47	LYS	1
1	А	48	GLU	1
1	А	18	LYS	1
1	А	12	MET	1
1	А	42	GLN	1

#### 6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

### 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

#### 6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

### 6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.



## 6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

## 6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



# 7 Chemical shift validation (i)

No chemical shift data were provided

